

FORM NAME

RESEARCH ETHICS BOARD (REB)

VERSION	2022 Nover	nber				
SUBMIT TO	reb@tyndal	<u>le.ca</u>				
A. PROJECT DETAIL						
Principal Resea	archer					
Projec						
-						
Depart	tment					
		B. REVIEWER				
	1					
Reviewer						
Institutional Affil						
Academi						
	Email					

Peer Review Form for Scholarly Merit

C. REVIEW

Key: CR (Clarification Required)
NA (Not applicable)

		Yes	CR	No	NA	Notes/References	
Background and Objectives							
1	Is/are the objective(s) of the study clearly described?						
2	Is/are the objective(s) achievable?						
3	Does the researcher demonstrate familiarity with the relevant knowledge in your field?						
4	Is the rationale for the study clearly outlined?						
5	Based on the objectives, will the study likely make a significant contribution to knowledge in your field?						
Study	y Design						
6	Is the study design clearly described?						
7	Is the study appropriate to the objectives?						
8	Are the source and number of subjects clearly stated?						
9	Are the eligibility criteria (screening, inclusion, exclusion) clearly defined?						
10	If there is randomization, is it adequately described?						
11	Are the methods/procedures to achieve the intended results clearly described?						
12	Are the methods/procedures appropriate to achieve the intended results?						
13	Do the procedures/instruments have adequate reliability?						
14	Does the process have adequate validity?						

Analysis								
15	Is the rationale for the sample size clearly stated?							
16	Is the sample size adequate to address the research question?							
17	If appropriate, is a valid approach to statistical analysis described (probability levels, confidence boundaries, statistical package, etc.)?							
18	Does the proposed data analysis address the study's primary objective?							
Study	/ Schedule							
19	Is the study schedule stated and realistic?							
20	Has your review of this research proposal raised any ethical issues not adequately addressed? If yes, please specify your concerns in the "Notes" section below.							
21	Has your review of this research proposal raised any other concerns about scientific merit? If yes, please specify your concerns							
D. SIGNATURE								
Signature of the Reviewer				Dat	:e			
_								