

“The mission of Tyndale Seminary is to provide Christ-centred graduate theological education for leaders in the church and society whose lives are marked by intellectual maturity, spiritual vigour and moral integrity, and whose witness will faithfully engage culture with the Gospel.”

Course	NEW TESTAMENT THEOLOGY AND HISTORY NEWT 0522
Date and Time	JANUARY 11 – APRIL 9, 2021 WEB-BASED ONLINE
Instructor	DUNCAN REID, MDiv, PhD Email: dreid@tyndale.ca
Class Information	<p>This course is designed to be asynchronous. Lectures are posted online so students can learn based on their own schedule; the same flexibility is built into the weekly forum discussions within the timeline parameters set out below. The professor plays an active role in moderating discussion, guiding research, and introducing topics and resources.</p> <p>Office Hours (room C 304 or via Microsoft Teams): Monday from 2:00 to 3:00 pm Email correspondence: a response can be expected within 1-3 business days.</p>
Course Material	<p>Access course material at classes.tyndale.ca or other services at Tyndale One. Course emails will be sent to your @MyTyndale.ca e-mail account only. Learn how to access and forward emails to your personal account.</p>

I. COURSE DESCRIPTION

A study of the New Testament focusing on its over-arching vision of God’s mission in the world and how human beings are called to respond. Each book will be placed in its cultural and historical setting as we focus on its distinctive contribution to the New Testament. Along the way students will be introduced to critical methods for studying the New Testament and will

reflect on how we can integrate scholarly perspectives with a conviction that the New Testament is the Word of God.

Recommended Prerequisite: BIBL 0501

II. LEARNING OUTCOMES

At the end of the course, by actively listening to the weekly lectures, participating in online discussion forums, researching and writing a formal research paper, and composing a final integration paper, students will be able to:

1. Discuss the relationship of human and divine elements in the composition, canonization, translation and interpretation of the New Testament as scripture;
2. Demonstrate the importance of the Jewish and Greco-Roman historical context for the interpretation of the New Testament;
3. Identify issues related to historical Jesus research and sketch out a general understanding of the four Gospels;
4. Describe a general outline for the life of Paul and identify some of the major theological themes in his letters;
5. Distinguish between and analyze individual New Testament books in relation to their historical background, literary features, themes, and contemporary significance;
6. Describe the task of New Testament theology and discuss matters of theological unity and diversity as well as the relationship of the New Testament to the Old Testament;
7. Identify the practical relevance of the New Testament to the contemporary life and mission of individual Christians and the church as a whole.

III. COURSE REQUIREMENTS

A. REQUIRED READING

Powell, Mark Allan. [*Introducing the New Testament: A Historical, Literary, and Theological Survey*](#). Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2018 (2009).

Carter, Warren. [*Seven Events that Shaped the New Testament World*](#). Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2013.

New Testament text. While it is advisable to consult with more than one translation, your primary text can be any modern translation except paraphrases.

Weekly lectures by Duncan Reid (available on the course web site).

B. SUPPLEMENTARY / RECOMMENDED READING AND TOOLS

Matera, Frank J. *New Testament Theology: Exploring Diversity and Unity*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2007.

Tyndale recommends www.stepbible.org – a free and reputable online resource developed by Tyndale House (Cambridge, England) – for word searches of original-language texts, as well as for topical searches, interlinear texts, dictionaries, etc. Refer to the library for other [online resources for Biblical Studies](#).

C. ASSIGNMENTS AND GRADING

Attendance in this course is demonstrated by regular log-ins and up-to-date participation in forums.

Each student will be evaluated on the basis of the following assignments (percentage of course grade in brackets).

1. Weekly General Forums: Due weekly (any 10 out of 12 weeks) (5% of course grade)

This whole class General Forum will appear on the course page just below the posted lecture videos for each week. It potentially addresses any one of the stated Learning Outcomes. During any given week the student is expected to make **two posts** as follows: (1) the first post provides a brief comment and/or question (one paragraph of 100-200 words) in response to the course material (lectures and/or reading) for the given week; (2) the second post provides a brief response (one paragraph of 100-200 words) to the post of one other student in the class. You can choose the weeks on which you post but in order to obtain the full 5% of the course grade for this assignment you must post on at least 10 of the 12 weeks. As per the dates on the course page, each week runs from Monday to Sunday. For a post to count toward the course grade it must be posted **before 11:59 pm on Sunday of the given week (after this the forum will be locked and therefore unavailable for new posts)**.

Grading rubric: the following rubric will be used in grading this assignment:

Percentage of Course grade	General Forum Posts
5	Provides two posts on at least 10 out of 12 weeks. Each post is approximately 100-200 words in length and in keeping with the above description. Posts occur before 11:59 pm on Sunday of the given week and follow the 'guidelines for online engagement' listed

	below (under General Guidelines for the Submission of Written Work).
4.5	As above but posts 9 out of 12 weeks.
4	As above but posts 8 out of 12 weeks.
3.5	As above but posts 7 out of 12 weeks.
3	As above but posts 6 out of 12 weeks.
2.5	As above but posts 5 out of 12 weeks.
2	As above but posts 4 out of 12 weeks.
1.5	As above but posts 3 out of 12 weeks.
1	As above but posts 2 out of 12 weeks.
0.5	As above but posts 1 out of 12 weeks.

2. Group Discussion Forums: Due on weeks 4 through 10 (45% of course grade)

Group Discussion forums are an important component of this course and will involve you interacting with a small group of fellow students in relation to a question posted by instructor. These discussion forums will occur on weeks 4, 6, 8, and 10 and will address various aspects of the Learning Outcomes. The groups will be assigned randomly in week 3 and will consist of five or six students each. The duration of each discussion forum will be one week (Monday to Sunday) and the grading will be on the basis of peer review within each group (see below). The format for each week will be as follows:

- (a) 'Discussion question': the **instructor** will post the discussion question along with assigned reading and a grading rubric (**NOTE**: in addition to appearing in the forums, a pdf document containing all the questions is posted directly below the syllabus on the course page, enabling you to work on your answers in advance).
- (b) 'Student responses': each student will post a response to the discussion question. The response should be approximately 1000-1300 words in length (**4 pages maximum; 12 point font and double spaced**) and must be **posted as a pdf document by 11:59 pm on Monday** of the given week. You will not be able to see other student responses until you have posted your own response.

Notes on style: There is no need for a title page but you should have your name and a brief title in the header of the paper (or the first line). Given the word/page limit you will need to be succinct in your response (e.g., no need for introductory paragraphs and extraneous words – just answer the question as succinctly and clearly as you can). There is much that can be said in three to four pages when you write clearly and succinctly, which is part of the challenge of this assignment. There is no need for footnotes but you must provide in-text citations with page number references (e.g., Wright, 2009, 33) when you are referring to secondary sources. You should also include a bibliography that provides full bibliographic information (e.g., Wright, N. T. *Paul, In Fresh Perspective*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2009) for all the sources that you read/cited. The bibliography should conform to the Chicago Manual of Style and appear at the end of your document (it is not included in your page count).

(c) 'Secondary reflection': once you have posted your response you will be able to see the responses of other students. You need to read through these responses and reflect on how different students have responded to the posted question. After doing this you need to post a single reflection (approximately 200 to 400 words) in which you summarize how you're your thinking has been enhanced, challenged and/or changed by reading each of the responses from other members of your group (this should be posted as a reply directly into the dialogue box of the forum rather than as a pdf attachment). As you comment on each of the posts in your group it will be helpful if, in addition to strengths, you can point out any significant weaknesses so that they can learn from the experience. Such feedback should of course be given respectfully and conform to the 'guidelines for online engagement' listed below (under General Guidelines for the Submission of Written Work). This summary comment must be **posted by 11:59 pm on Thursday** of the given week. No further posts are *required* but feel free to continue dialoguing over points of interest.

Assignment Grading:

Peer review grading: This assignment will be graded through a peer review grading process. Each student will (anonymously) submit a grade for each of the other students in their assigned group. The average of these submitted grades will count as the assignment grade for that week. A grading rubric along with instructions on how to submit grades will be posted on the course page.

Missed and late posts: Instruction on late posts will be included in the assignment rubric. Each forum is worth 25% of the overall grade for this assignment. Missed forums will count for zero toward the overall assignment grade (e.g., a student who only participates in three forums will only be eligible for 75% of the overall assignment grade).

Instructor review: The instructor will review any disputed grades and reserves the right to make adjustments to individual grades (for better or for worse). The instructor will provide general feedback and comments to the whole class following the completion of the forum.

3. Research Paper: Due on Monday Mar 29, 2021 (45% of course grade)

Each student will select one research topic from the list below (alternative topics may be approved by the instructor upon request) and will write an academic paper related to that topic. Depending on the chosen topic and research question, this paper may address one or more of the Learning Outcomes identified at the beginning of this syllabus. In completing this paper the student should proceed according to the following guidelines:

- **Research topics:** the New Testament doctrine of scripture or canonization; the Jesus seminar and/or historical Jesus research; the kingdom of God in the Gospels; the death of Jesus; the resurrection of Jesus; apocalyptic literature and its value for studying the New Testament; the theology of Paul; Paul's understanding of the Old Testament law; the use of the Old Testament in the New; the value of New Testament critical

methodology as used by modern biblical scholars (e.g. redaction criticism; source criticism; literary criticism, rhetorical criticism, etc.); the study of Jewish or Greco-Roman background in relation to interpretation of the New Testament; the 'new perspective' on Paul; pseudepigraphy in the New Testament; the relationship of history and theology in studying the New Testament; various theological themes or New Testament theology in general.

- **Research Question:** Students will be expected to prepare a research paper in which they summarize and interact with some aspect of their chosen topic. *You must first formulate a research question that you intend to answer in your paper.* For example "the resurrection of Jesus" is a research topic but not a research question. Examples of questions related to this topic might include the following: what is the historical and contextual background (OT; Ancient Near East; Greco-Roman etc.) to resurrection in the NT? What is the historical evidence for Jesus being raised bodily from the dead? How is the resurrection of Jesus best explained – bodily resurrection, mythological story, objective visionary experience or other? Etc. It is recommended (but not required) that you get input from the instructor when formulating your research question. The research question will be key to giving your paper a specific focus. You will then need to research potential answers to your question in secondary scholarly literature (see below) as well paying close attention to the New Testament itself. The results of your research should demonstrate your ability to interact with and synthesize ideas found in various secondary sources along with your own ideas.
- **Secondary literature:** in keeping with the grading rubric below, an A/B paper will include between (at least) 8 to 20 items in your bibliography. The only items included in this count will be secondary scholarly sources that go beyond any assigned course reading and have obvious relevance to your paper. These include such things as scholarly commentaries, NT Introductions, NT Theologies, books, and articles/essays (e.g., in dictionaries and essay collections). If there is *significant interaction* (versus a brief citation) with a primary source (e.g., Josephus or Philo etc.) then the reference to this primary source will be included in the bibliography count. You are welcome to reference the following type of items as you see fit, but they will not be included in the bibliography count: popular articles, essays and commentaries; popular online articles; Bibles and study Bibles. Work hard to understand and represent the authors accurately while bringing their ideas into constructive dialogue with one another and with you own. Avoid unthinking reliance upon authors you like or agree with and overly quick dismissal of authors you disagree with. You should cite all sources appropriately in **footnotes** (not endnotes) and provide a full bibliography at the end of your paper.
- **General approach:** the paper should be clearly structured with the following sections: (1) an introduction (identify your research question, why it is important to address and how you intend to address it); (2) body (present your research in a clear and organized fashion – it is often helpful to use sectional headings to organize and clarify your thoughts); (3) and conclusion (draw clear conclusions in answering your research question based on your findings presented in the body of the paper). What matters

most is that you organize and clearly present your research and ideas. In a paper that discusses differing opinions this does not mean drawing conclusions where there is insufficient evidence. It is possible, for example, that you are unable to decide between two or more options. In this case you need to clearly show the strengths and weaknesses of each option and why you think ambiguity remains. You may give suggestions for further research that would help gain more insight.

- **Style:** The paper should be 8-10 pages in length (maximum 10, not including title page or bibliography) with footnotes (not endnotes) and a bibliography of sources consulted. Please follow the Chicago Manual of Style for footnotes and bibliography (click on “Go to Notes and Bibliography” in the following Tyndale e-resource link: [Chicago-Style Quick Guide](#)). The paper should be written in 12 point font, double-spaced, and preferably Times Roman font with 1 inch margins. The bibliography should include all items of secondary and primary literature that are cited (not Bibles but it is good to indicate in a footnote or parenthesis which version/versions you are using) and should include a good cross section of types (commentaries; books; articles) and viewpoints. Make sure to include page numbers and a title page (title of paper; your name; due date; course code and name of professor).

Please also consult “General Guidelines for Submission of Written Work” below.

Grading rubric: the following rubric will be used in grading this assignment:

Grade	Research Paper
A	<p>The paper carefully follows all of the assignment instructions for a ‘Research Paper’ outlined above. With this in mind the following features will be present:</p> <p><i>Research:</i> the introduction includes a clearly articulated research question, persuasively demonstrates why this question deserves attention, and clearly explains how it will be addressed. The paper and bibliography provide evidence of in-depth research from a variety of appropriate secondary (and possibly primary) sources representing a cross section of views/perspectives on the subject matter at hand. The bibliography includes at least 15 to 20 good quality secondary sources in keeping with the description of secondary sources in the assignment description above.</p> <p><i>Argumentation and style:</i> the paper creatively and succinctly presents research in a manner that clearly and even-handedly summarizes the views of others. The paper demonstrates an appreciation for the complexity of the issues while drawing clear conclusions based on a balanced appraisal of the evidence that identifies both the weaknesses and strengths of various viewpoints.</p> <p><i>Style:</i> the paper is clear, creative, succinct and persuasive in presenting research findings and conclusions. The paper clearly and directly answers the research question posed in the introduction and appropriately summarizes/states these findings in its conclusion. The paper is clearly organized and avoids confusion, unnecessary details, redundant repetition, and inefficient wording. The paper is</p>

	<p>free (or almost free) of stylistic and grammatical mistakes. It adheres to the “general guidelines for the submission of written work” in the syllabus, including the proper citation of secondary sources (footnotes and bibliography according to the Chicago Style).</p>
B	<p>The paper follows all of the assignment instructions for a ‘Research Paper’ outlined above. With this in mind the following features will be generally present:</p> <p><i>Research:</i> the introduction includes a clearly articulated research question, indicates why this question deserves attention, and explains how it will be addressed. The paper and bibliography provide evidence of in-depth research from a variety of secondary (and possibly primary) sources representing a cross section of views/perspectives on the subject matter at hand. The bibliography includes at least 8-10 good quality secondary sources in keeping with the description of secondary sources in the assignment description above.</p> <p><i>Argumentation and style:</i> the paper presents research in a manner that clearly and even-handedly summarizes the views of others. The paper demonstrates an appreciation for the complexity of the issues while drawing clear conclusions on the research question under investigation.</p> <p><i>Style:</i> the paper is clear, succinct and persuasive in presenting research findings and conclusions. The paper clearly answers the research question posed in the introduction and appropriately summarizes/states these findings in the conclusion. The paper is well organized and generally avoids confusion, unnecessary details, redundant repetition, and inefficient wording. The paper is generally free of stylistic and grammatical mistakes, and adheres to the “general guidelines for the submission of written work” in the syllabus, including the citation of secondary sources (footnotes and bibliography according to the Chicago Style)</p>
C	<p>The paper generally follows the assignment instructions for a ‘Research Paper’ outlined above. With this in mind the following features will be generally present:</p> <p><i>Research:</i> the introduction includes a research question, indicates why it is being addressed and how that will happen. The paper and bibliography provide evidence of research from secondary (and possibly primary) sources relevant to the subject matter at hand. The bibliography includes at least 3-5 good quality secondary sources in keeping with the description of secondary sources in the assignment description above.</p> <p><i>Argumentation and style:</i> the paper presents research in a manner that is generally clear and appropriately represents the views of others. The paper will demonstrate an awareness of differing viewpoints while drawing its own conclusions on the matter at hand.</p> <p><i>Style:</i> the paper is generally clear and persuasive in presenting its research findings and conclusions. The paper is organized and generally avoids confusion and unnecessary details. While reasonably clear, the paper may contain more than the average number of stylistic and grammatical mistakes. It generally</p>

	adheres to “general guidelines for the submission of written work” in the syllabus, including the citation of secondary sources (footnotes and bibliography according to the Chicago Style), but may be deficient in some of these ways.
D	<p>The paper somewhat follows the assignment instructions for a ‘Research Paper’ outlined above but is characterized by one of more of the following elements:</p> <p><i>Research:</i> the introduction lacks clarity in relation to the research question, the reasons for it being addressed and/or the method to be employed. The paper and bibliography provide evidence of research from secondary (and possibly primary) sources. However, these resources may be deemed of poor quality (in relation to the description of secondary sources in the course the assignment), insufficient in number (less than 3-5), and/or lacking in relevance to the subject matter at hand.</p> <p><i>Argumentation and style:</i> while possibly demonstrating awareness of differing views, the presentation of research is generally lacking in clarity and persuasiveness and/or fails to appropriately represent the views of others. The conclusions drawn from the research may be missing or lacking in clarity.</p> <p><i>Style:</i> the paper suffers from a lack of clarity and persuasiveness in general. There is little evidence of organization and it may well be characterized by confusion, unnecessary or irrelevant details, and a large number of stylistic and grammatical mistakes. It may substantially fail to follow the “general guidelines for the submission of written work” in the syllabus, including the citation of secondary sources (footnotes and bibliography according to the Chicago Style).</p>
F	<p>Either no paper has been submitted within agreed upon timelines (including any agreed upon extensions) or the paper fails in large manner to follow the assignment instructions for a ‘Research Paper’ outlined above. Such a failure will be characterized by one of more of the following elements:</p> <p><i>Research:</i> there is a fundamental lack of clarity in relation to identifying a research question or the manner in which it will be addressed. The paper and bibliography provide no evidence of research from secondary (and possibly primary) sources or those cited are deemed either irrelevant or of poor quality (in relation to the description of secondary sources in the course the assignment).</p> <p><i>Argumentation and style:</i> there is a lack of awareness of differing views and presentation of research fundamentally lacks in clarity and persuasiveness. No clear conclusions are drawn or expressed.</p> <p><i>Style:</i> there is an overall lack of clarity and organization. Instead the paper is characterized by confusion and, irrelevant details, and a large number of stylistic and grammatical mistakes. It fails substantially to follow the “general guidelines for the submission of written work” in the syllabus, including the citation of secondary sources (footnotes and bibliography according to the Chicago Style).</p>

4. Integration Paper: Due on Monday Apr 5, 2021 (5% of the course grade)

Each student will write a 2-3 page (maximum 3) integration paper based on 2-4 key insights gained during the course. The paper will pay attention to both academic and personal transformation that has resulted from engaging in the course lectures, course reading, discussion forums and research paper. In addition to clearly identifying and articulating each insight, the paper will reflect thoughtfully on their implications for personal and corporate (church/societal) life. This paper may touch upon any of the Learning Outcomes identified at the beginning of this syllabus, but is especially concerned with Learning Outcome number 7.

Grading rubric: the following rubric will be used in grading this assignment:

Grade	Integration Paper
A	In keeping with the assignment instructions, the paper clearly and concisely identifies 2-4 key insights that have been gained during the course and have led to some form of academic/personal transformation and growth. The paper provides clear, thoughtful and creative reflection in relation to each insight that explicitly addresses both personal and corporate implications. These implications are spelled out in specific, creative and concrete suggestions for practical 'next steps.' These next steps should not only be demonstrably relevant to your key insights and cultural context, but should also be realistic. Allowing for the necessary 'work of God's Spirit' in any growth process and transformation, the paper outlines a vision of what you hope would be the end result of engaging the next steps you have identified. Finally, the paper will identify potential barriers to this process and what might possibly be done in response to them. The paper is written in clear and concise academic prose with few/no grammatical/stylistic errors. It follows the "General Guidelines for Submission of Written Work" in the syllabus.
B	In keeping with the assignment instructions, the paper clearly identifies 2-4 key insights that have been gained during the course and have led to some form of academic/personal transformation and growth. The paper provides thoughtful reflection on each insight that explicitly addresses both personal and corporate implications. These implications are spelled out in specific and concrete suggestions for practical 'next steps.' These next steps should be relevant to your key insights and realistic in your cultural context. Allowing for the necessary 'work of God's Spirit' in any growth process and transformation, the paper will outline a vision of what you hope would be the end result of engaging the next steps you have identified. Finally, the paper will identify potential barriers to this process and what might possibly be done in response to them. One or more of these preceding elements may be missing or significantly deficient. The paper is written in clear academic prose with relatively few grammatical/stylistic errors. It follows the "General Guidelines for Submission of Written Work" in the syllabus.

C	<p>In keeping with the assignment instructions, the paper identifies 2-4 key insights that have been gained during the course and have led to some form of academic/personal transformation and growth. The paper provides thoughtful reflection on each insight that explicitly addresses both personal and corporate implications. These implications are spelled out in specific and concrete suggestions for practical 'next steps.' These next steps should be relevant to your key insights and realistic in your cultural context. Allowing for the necessary 'work of God's Spirit' in any growth process and transformation, the paper will outline a vision of what you hope would be the end result of engaging the next steps you have identified. Finally, the paper will identify potential barriers to this process and what might possibly be done in response to them. Two or more of these preceding elements may be missing or severely deficient. The paper is written in academic prose but may contain several grammatical/stylistic errors. It may be deficient in following the "General Guidelines for Submission of Written Work" in the syllabus.</p>
D	<p>The paper shows signs of following the assignment instructions but significantly fails in two or more of the following ways: key insights are not clearly identified and do not obviously bear relevance to the course materials; the relevance of the key insights to academic/personal transformation and growth is not spelled out; the implications of these insights in relation to practical next steps are not clearly spelled out; 'next steps' are potentially irrelevant or impractical/unrealistic in the cultural context; there is no attempt to identify a future vision of transformation that would potentially result from these next steps; there is no attempt to identify potential barriers to the next steps or how those barriers might be overcome. The paper is written in academic prose but may contain multiple grammatical/stylistic errors and is clearly deficient in following the "General Guidelines for Submission of Written Work" in the syllabus.</p>
F	<p>Either no paper is submitted or the paper fails in most of the following ways: key insights are not clearly identified and do not obviously bear relevance to the course materials; the relevance of the key insights to academic/personal transformation and growth is not spelled out; the implications of these insights in relation to practical next steps are not clearly spelled out; 'next steps' are potentially irrelevant or impractical/unrealistic in the cultural context; there is no attempt to identify a future vision of transformation that would potentially result from these next steps; there is no attempt to identify potential barriers to the next steps or how those barriers might be overcome; the paper is not written in straightforward academic prose and/or contains multiple grammatical/stylistic errors; the paper is clearly deficient in following the "General Guidelines for Submission of Written Work" in the syllabus.</p>

D. EQUITY OF ACCESS

Students with permanent or temporary disabilities who need academic accommodations must [contact](#) the [Accessibility Services](#) at the [Centre for Academic Excellence](#) to [register](#) and discuss their specific needs. *New students* must self-identify and register with the Accessibility Office at the beginning of the semester or as early as possible to access appropriate services. *Current students* must renew their plans as early as possible to have active accommodations in place.

E. SUMMARY OF ASSIGNMENTS AND GRADING

Evaluation will be based upon completion of the assignments (as outlined above):

Assignment	Due Date	% of final grade
1. Weekly General Forum	Weekly up to 10 weeks	5%
2. Group Discussion Forums	Weeks 4, 6, 8, 10	45%
3. Research Paper	Monday Mar 29, 2020	45%
4. Integration Paper	Monday Apr 5, 2020	5%
Total Grade		100%

F. GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR THE SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN WORK

Written assignments are due on the dates indicated above and should be type written, *double-spaced, 12 point font (preferably Times Roman; 10 point font for footnotes), and one inch margins*. Responses to forums should be posted directly into the given discussion forum. Please remember that these are academic forums and that all posted comments are expected to adhere to the following '**Guidelines for Online Engagement**' (especially when commenting on the work of others):

- Comments are consistently characterized by respectful language and tone toward others who you may disagree with;
- Comments are devoid of sexism, racism, personal attacks, 'put downs' or any other content that might be taken as personally offensive by others;
- Comments are respectful of class diversity in relation to age, gender, ethnicity, cultural background and denominational background;
- Comments avoid passing judgment on another person's motives or spiritual maturity;
- Comments avoid giving unsolicited pastoral advice, counsel, or spiritual direction to other students;
- While disagreement is expected, healthy and necessary to learning, it should be expressed in a respectful manner that invites further dialogue and growth in mutual understanding.

The remaining assignments (research and integration papers) should be uploaded onto the course page through the appropriate portal (Word or pdf formats will be accepted). Late papers

(if the portal is closed) can be emailed directly to the professor at the email address at the top of this syllabus. Please label the file with your name and assignment (e.g., John Smith research paper). The deadline for submission is midnight on the due date.

It is expected that written work is submitted in a straightforward style of academic prose and demonstrates clear organization, argument and coherent thought. It ought to be free of spelling mistakes, punctuated correctly, and adhere to basic rules of grammar. Ensure that you have accurately and fully documented any secondary sources used in your paper (including footnotes and bibliography). If English grammar is challenging for you then you are expected to seek help (e.g. from the writing services of the Centre for Academic Excellence). Students are encouraged to consult [Writing Services](#).

For proper citation style, consult the [Chicago-Style Quick Guide](#) (Tyndale e-resource) or the full edition of the [Chicago Manual of Style Online](#), especially [ch. 14](#). For citing scripture texts, refer to sections [10.44 to 10.48](#) and [14.238 to 14.241](#).

Students should also consult the current [Academic Calendar](#) for academic policies on Academic Honesty, Gender Inclusive Language in Written Assignments, Late Papers and Extensions, Return of Assignments, and Grading System.

Academic Integrity

Integrity in academic work is required of all our students. Academic dishonesty is any breach of this integrity, and includes such practices as cheating (the use of unauthorized material on tests and examinations), submitting the same work for different classes without permission of the instructors; using false information (including false references to secondary sources) in an assignment; improper or unacknowledged collaboration with other students, and plagiarism. Tyndale University takes seriously its responsibility to uphold academic integrity, and to penalize academic dishonesty.

Research Ethics

All course-based assignments involving human participants requires ethical review and may require approval by the [Tyndale Research Ethics Board \(REB\)](#). Check with the Seminary Dean's Office (aa@tyndale.ca) before proceeding.

G. COURSE EVALUATION

Tyndale Seminary values quality in the courses it offers its students. End-of-course evaluations provide valuable student feedback and are one of the ways that Tyndale Seminary works towards maintaining and improving the quality of courses and the student's learning experience. Student involvement in this process is critical to enhance the general quality of teaching and learning.

Before the end of the course, students will receive a MyTyndale email with a link to the online course evaluation. The link can also be found in the left column on the course page. The evaluation period is 2 weeks; after the evaluation period has ended, it cannot be reopened.

Course Evaluation results will not be disclosed to the instructor before final grades in the course have been submitted and processed. Student names will be kept confidential and the instructor will only see the aggregated results of the class.

IV. COURSE SCHEDULE, CONTENT AND REQUIRED READINGS

The core course content includes weekly online lectures and course reading (textbooks and New Testament). The following schedule indicates which material is relevant to a given week. Please note that the general forum (assignment #1) will run for each of the weeks indicated (dates for other assignments are explicitly indicated and marked with *asterisks*).

Week 1: Jan 11–17

Online lecture: The New Testament as Scripture

Required reading:

- Powell 59-75 (17 pages) (chap 3)
- Carter 107-154 (48 pages) (chapters 6 and 7)

Week 2: Jan 18–24

Online lecture: The New Testament in its Historical Context

Course reading:

- Powell 17-57 (41 pages) (chaps 1 + 2)
- Carter 1-64 (64 pages) (chaps 1-3)
- New Testament: Gospel of Mark

Week 3: Jan 25–31

Online lecture: Jesus and the Gospels

Course reading:

- Powell 77-119 (43 pages) (chaps 4 + 5)
- Carter 65-85 (20 pages) (chap 4)
- New Testament: Gospel of Matthew

Week 4: Feb 1–7

On-line group discussion forum #1 (assignment #2)

Online lecture: Matthew and Mark

Course reading:

- Powell 119-159 (41 pages) (chaps 6 + 7)
- Carter 87-106 (19 pages) (chap 5)
- New Testament: Gospel of Luke

Week 5: Feb 8–14

Online lecture: John

Course reading:

- Powell 183-203 and 507-521 (36 pages) (chaps 9 + 28)
- New Testament: Gospel of John and 1, 2, 3, John

READING WEEK (NO CLASS): Feb 15-21

Week 6: Feb 22–28

On-line group discussion forum #2 (assignment #2)

Online lecture: Luke and Acts

Course reading:

- Powell 161-181 and 205-229 (46 pages) (chaps 8 + 10)
- New Testament: Acts

Week 7: Mar 1–7

Online lecture: Introduction to Paul and his Letters

Course reading:

- Powell 231-269 and 431-441 (50 pages) (chaps 11 +12 + 23)
- New Testament: Philemon and Galatians

Week 8: Mar 8–14

On-line group discussion forum #3 (assignment #2)

Online lecture: Romans and Galatians

Course reading:

- Powell 271-287 and 323-337 (31 pages) (chaps 13 + 16)
- New Testament: Romans

Week 9: Mar 15–21

Online lecture: 1 Corinthians and Philippians

Course reading:

- Powell 289-307 and 357-369 (32 pages) (chaps 14 +18)
- New Testament: 1-2 Corinthians and Philippians

Week 10: Mar 22–28

On-line group discussion forum #4 (assignment #2)

Online lecture: Hebrews and James

Course reading:

- Powell 443-477 (35 pages) (chaps 24 + 25)
- New Testament: Hebrews and James

Week 11: Mar 29–Apr 4

NOTE: Research Paper due Monday March 29

Online lecture: 1 Peter and Revelation

Course reading:

- Powell 479-495 and 531-551 (38 pages) (chaps 26 + 30)
- New Testament: 1 Peter and Revelation

Week 12: Apr 5–11

NOTE: Integration Paper due Monday April 5

Online lecture: New Testament Theology

Course Reading: none

V. SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

([Tyndale Library](#) supports this course with [e-journals](#), [e-books](#), and the [mail delivery of books](#) and circulating materials. See the [Library FAQ page](#).)

The following is a partial list of resources intended as a starting point of research on a number of issues pertaining to the New Testament. In addition to these more general works, the library has multiple commentaries on each book of the New Testament. Commentaries will provide valuable background information on specific books. All these resources (along with the course text) contain a wealth of additional bibliography to aid research on more specific topics.

New Testament Introductions:

Achtemeier, Paul J., Joel B. Green, and Marianne Meye Thompson. *Introducing the New Testament: Its Literature and Theology*. Grand Rapids, MI: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 2001.

Brown, Raymond E. *An Introduction to the New Testament*. New York; London; Toronto: Doubleday, 1997.

Carson, D. A., Douglas J. Moo, and Leon Morris. *An Introduction to the New Testament*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1992.

DeSilva, David A., *An Introduction to the New Testament: Contexts, Methods, & Ministry Formation*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2004.

Ehrman, Bart D. *The New Testament: A Historical Introduction to the Early Christian Literature*. New York; Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.

- Guthrie, Donald. *New Testament Introduction*. Leicester; Downers Grove, IL: Apollos; Intervarsity Press, 1990.
- Johnson, Luke Timothy. *The Writings of the New Testament: An Interpretation*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1999.
- Kümmel, W. G. *Introduction to the New Testament*. Translated by H. C. Kee. London: Abingdon Press, 1975.
- Marxsen, W. *Introduction to the New Testament: An Approach to Its Problems*. Translated by G. Buswell. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress Press, 1968.
- McNeile, A. H. *An Introduction to the Study of New Testament*. 2nd Rev. ed. Edited by C. S. C. Williams. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1953.
- Metzger, Bruce M. *The New Testament: Its Background, Growth, and Content*. 3rd ed. Nashville, TN: Abingdon Press, 2003.
- Powell, Mark Allan. *Introducing the New Testament: A Historical, Literary, and Theological Survey*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2009 (Second Edition, 2018)
- Robert, A., and A. Feuillet. *Introduction to the New Testament*. Translated by P. W. Skehan et al. New York; Rome; Paris: Desclée Company, 1965.

New Testament Theology:

- Anderson, Bernhard W. "The New Crisis in Biblical Theology." *Drew Gateway* 45 (1974–75): 159–74.
- Bultmann, Rudolf. *Theology of the New Testament*. 2 Vols. Translated by Kendrick Grobel. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1951 and 1955.
- Caird, G. B. *New Testament Theology*. Completed and edited by Donald A. Hagner. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1994.
- Conzelmann, Hans. *An Outline of the Theology of the New Testament*. Translated by John Bowden. New York: Harper & Row, 1969.
- Funk, Robert W. *Language, Hermeneutic and the Word of God: The Problem of Language in the New Testament and Contemporary Theology*. New York: Harper and Row, 1966.
- Guthrie, Donald. *New Testament Theology*. Leicester: IVP, 1981.
- Käsemann, Ernst. "The Problem of New Testament Theology." *NTS* 19 (1972–1903): 235–45.
- Keck, Leander E. "Problems of New Testament Theology." *NovT* 7 (1964): 217–41.
- Ladd, George Eldon. *A Theology of the New Testament*. Rev. ed. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1994 (1974).
- Lemcio, Eugene E. "The Unifying Kerygma of the New Testament." *JSNT* 33 (1988): 3–17.
- Marshall, I. Howard. *New Testament Theology: Many Witnesses, One Gospel*. Downers Grove, IL: IVP, 2004.
- Martin, Ralph P. "New Testament Theology: Impasse and Exit." *ExpTim* 69 (1980): 264–69.
- Matera, Frank J. "New Testament Theology: History, Method and Identity." *CBQ* 67 (2005): 1–21.
- _____. *New Testament Theology: Exploring Diversity and Unity*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2007.

- Perrin, Norman. "Jesus and the Theology of the New Testament." *JR* 64 (1984): 413–31.
- Räisänen, Heikki. *Beyond New Testament Theology*. London; Philadelphia: SCM Press; Trinity Press International, 1990.
- Richardson, Alan. *An Introduction to the Theology of the New Testament*. London: SCM, 1958.
- Robinson, James M. "The Future of New Testament Theology." *Drew Gateway* 45 (1974–75): 175–87.
- Rowe, C. Kavin. "New Testament Theology: The Revival of a Discipline: A Review of Recent Contributions to the Field." *JBL* 125, no. 2 (2006): 393–410.
- Sandys-Wunsch, John, and Laurence Eldredge. "J.P. Gabler and the Distinction between Biblical and Dogmatic Theology: Translation, Commentary, and Discussion of His Originality." *SJT* 33 (1980): 133–58.
- Schreiner, Thomas R. *New Testament Theology: Magnifying God in Christ*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 2008.
- Strecker, Georg. *Theology of the New Testament*. German ed. Edited and completed by Friedrich Wilhelm Horn. Translated by M. Eugene Boring. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2000.
- Thielman, Frank. *Theology of the New Testament: A Canonical and Synthetic Approach*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2005.

Jesus and the Gospels:

- Allison, D.C. *Constructing Jesus: Memory, Imagination, and History*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2010.
- Allison, D.C. *The Historical Christ and the Theological Jesus*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2009.
- Bauckham, Richard. *Jesus and the Eyewitnesses: The Gospels as Eyewitness Testimony*. Grand Rapids, MI; Cambridge: W. B. Eerdmans, 2006.
- _____. *The Gospels for All Christians: Rethinking the Gospel Audiences*. Grand Rapids, MI; Cambridge: W. B. Eerdmans, 1998.
- Bird, Michael F. "The Formation of the Gospels in the Setting of Early Christianity: The Jesus Tradition as Corporate Memory." *WTJ* 67 (2005): 113–34.
- Blomberg, Craig L. *Jesus and the Gospels: An Introduction and Survey*. Nashville, TN: Broadman and Holman, 1997.
- Bock, Darrell L. *Who is Jesus? Linking the Historical Jesus with the Christ of Faith*. New York; Nashville, TN; London: Howard Books, 2012.
- Borg, Marcus J. *Conflict, Holiness & Politics in the Teachings of Jesus*. Studies in the Bible and Early Christianity, Vol. 5. New York; London: Continuum, 1998.
- _____. *Jesus: Uncovering the Life, Teachings, and Relevance of a Religious Revolutionary*. New York: HarperSanFrancisco, 2006.
- _____. *Meeting Jesus Again for the First Time: The Historical Jesus & the Heart of Contemporary Faith*. San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco, 1984.
- Borg, Marcus J., and N. T. Wright. *The Meaning of Jesus: Two Visions*. New York: HarperCollins, 2007.

- Burridge, R. A. *Four Gospels, One Jesus? A Symbolic Reading*. Grand Rapids, Michigan; Cambridge, U.K.: Eerdmans, 2005.
- _____. *What Are the Gospels? A Comparison with Graeco-Roman Biography*. 2nd ed. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2004.
- Charlesworth, James H., ed. *Jesus and Archaeology*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2006.
- Crossan, John Dominic. *Jesus: A Revolutionary Biography*. New York: HarperCollins, 1994.
- Crossan, John Dominic, and Jonathan L. Reed. *Excavating Jesus: Beneath the Stones, Behind the Texts*. New York: HarperCollins, 2002.
- Eve, Eric. *Behind the Gospels: Understanding the Oral Tradition*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2014.
- Goergen, Donald. *The Jesus of Christian History*. Eugene, OR: Wipf and Stock Publishers, 1992.
- Hutchinson, Robert J. *Searching for Jesus: New Discoveries in the Quest for Jesus of Nazareth and How They Confirm the Gospel Accounts*. Nashville: Nelson Books, 2015.
- Johnson, Luke Timothy. *Living Jesus: Learning the Heart of the Gospel*. New York: HarperSanFrancisco, 1999.
- _____. *The Real Jesus: The Misguided Quest for the Historical Jesus and the Truth of the Traditional Gospels*. New York: HarperSanFrancisco, 1996.
- Le Donne, Anthony. *Historical Jesus; What Can We Know and How Can We Know It?* Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2011.
- Nolan, Albert. *Jesus Today: A Spirituality of Radical Freedom*. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 2006.
- Pelikan, Jaroslav. *Jesus through the Centuries: His Place in the History of Culture*. New Haven; London: Yale University Press, 1985.
- Sanders, E. P. *Jesus and Judaism*. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1985.
- _____. *The Historical Figure of Jesus*. London - New York: Penguin Books, 1995.
- Sanders, E. P. and Margaret Davies. *Studying the Synoptic Gospels*. London: SCM Press, 1989.
- Stanton, Graham N. *The Gospels and Jesus*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002.
- Theissen, Gerd, and Annette Merz. *The Historical Jesus: A Comprehensive Guide*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1998.
- Theissen, Gerd, and Dagmar Winter. *The Quest for the Plausible Jesus: The Question of Criteria*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox, 2002.
- Wilkins, Michael J., and Moreland, J. P., eds. *Jesus Under Fire: Modern Scholarship Reinvents the Historical Jesus*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1995.
- Willitts, Joel. "Presuppositions and Procedures in the Study of the 'Historical Jesus': Or, Why I decided not to be a 'Historical Jesus' Scholar." *Journal for the Study of the Historical Jesus* 3, no. 1 (2005): 61–108.
- Wright, N.T. *Jesus the Victory of God*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1996.
- _____. *The Resurrection of the Son of God*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2003.

Paul:

- Barclay, John M. G. "Mirror-Reading a Polemical Letter: Galatians as a Test Case." *JSNT* 31 (1987): 73–93.

- Beker, J. Christian. *Paul the Apostle: The Triumph of God in Life and Thought*. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1980.
- _____. *The Triumph of God: The Essence of Paul's Thought*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1990.
- Bird, Michael. *The Saving Righteousness of God: Studies in Paul, Justification, and the New Perspective*. Paternoster Biblical Monographs. Carlisle: Paternoster, 2007.
- Borg, Marcus J., and John Dominic Crossan. *The First Paul: Reclaiming the Radical Visionary behind the Church's Conservative Icon*. New York: HarperCollins, 2009.
- Capes, David B., Rodney Reeves, and E. Randolph Richards. *Rediscovering Paul: An Introduction to His World, Letters and Theology*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 2007.
- Crossan, John Dominic, and Jonathan L. Reed. *In Search of Paul: How Jesus's Apostle Opposed Rome's Empire with God's Kingdom*. San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco, 2004.
- Dunn, James G. *The New Perspective on Paul*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2007.
- _____. *The Theology of the Paul the Apostle*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1998.
- Elliot, Neil. *Liberating Paul: The Justice of God and the Politics of the Apostle*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2005.
- Elliot, Neil, and Mark Reasoner, eds. *Documents and Images for the Study of Paul*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2011.
- Fee, Gordon. *Empowering Presence: The Holy Spirit in the Letters of Paul*. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1994.
- Gorman, Michael J. *Apostle of the Crucified Lord: A Theological Introduction to Paul and His Letters*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2001.
- _____. *Cruciformity: Paul's Narrative Spirituality of the Cross*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2001.
- _____. *Inhabiting the Cruciform God: Kenosis, Justification, and Theosis in Paul's Narrative Soteriology*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2009.
- _____. *Reading Paul*. Eugene, OR: Cascade Books, 2008.
- Gundry, Stanley N., and Michael F. Bird, eds. *Four Views on the Apostle Paul*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2012.
- Hays, Richard B. *Echoes of Scripture in the Letters of Paul*. New Haven; London: Yale University Press, 1989.
- _____. *The Faith of Jesus Christ: The Narrative Substructure of Galatians 3:1–4:11*. Grand Rapids, MI: W. B. Eerdmans, 2002.
- Horsley, Richard A., ed. *Paul and Empire: Religion and Power in Roman Imperial Society*. Harrisburg, PA: Trinity Press International, 1997.
- Jervis, L. Ann. *At the Heart of the Gospel: Suffering in the Earliest Christian Message*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2003.
- Kierspal, Lars. *Charts on the Life, Letters, and Theology of Paul*. Grand Rapids, MI: Kregal Academic, 2012.
- Malherbe, Abraham J. *Paul and the Popular Philosophers*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1989.
- Marchal, Joseph A., ed. *Four Views on the Apostle Paul*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2012.
- Meeks, Wayne A. *The First Urban Christians: The Social World of the Apostle Paul*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1983.
- Murphy-O'Connor, Jerome. *Paul: A Critical Life*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1996.

- Ridderbos, Herman N. *Paul: An Outline of His Theology*. Translated by John Richard De Witt. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1975.
- Sanders, E. P. *Paul, the Law, and the Jewish People*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1983.
- _____. E. P. *Paul and Palestinian Judaism: A Comparison of Patterns of Religion*. Philadelphia, PA; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1977.
- Segal, Alan F. *Paul the Convert: The Apostolate and Apostasy of Saul the Pharisee*. New Haven; London: Yale University Press, 1990.
- Stendahl, Krister. *Paul among Jews and Gentiles and Other Essays*. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1976.
- Still, Todd D., ed. *Jesus and Paul Reconnected: Fresh Pathways Into an Old Debate*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2007.
- Sampley, J. Paul, ed. *Paul in the Greco-Roman World: A Handbook*. Harrisburg, PA: Trinity Press International, 2003.
- Swartley, Willard M. *Covenant of Peace: The Missing Peace in New Testament Theology and Ethics*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2006.
- Taylor, Walter F. *Paul: Apostle to the Nations: An Introduction*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2012.
- Westerholm, Stephen. *Perspectives Old and New on Paul: The Lutheran Paul and His Critics*. Grand Rapids, MI: W. B. Eerdmans, 2004.
- Wenham, David. *Paul: Follower of Jesus of Founder of Christianity*. Cambridge; Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1995.
- Witherington, Ben. *The Paul Quest: The Renewed Search for the Jew of Tarsus*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1998.
- Wright, N. T. *Paul, In Fresh Perspective*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2009.
- _____. *Paul and the Faithfulness of God*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2013.
- _____. *The Climax of the Covenant: Christ and the Law in Pauline Thought*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1993.
- _____. *What St. Paul Really Said: Was Paul of Tarsus the Real Founder of Christianity?* Grand Rapids, MI: W. B. Eerdmans, 1997.

Additional General References:

- Aune, David E., ed. *The New Testament in its Literary Environment*. Library of Early Christianity. Vol 8. Philadelphia, PA: The Westminster Press, 1989.
- Bauckham, Richard. *God Crucified: Monotheism and Christology in the New Testament*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1999.
- Bock, Darrell L. *The Missing Gospels: Unearthing the Truth behind Alternative Christianities*. Nashville, TN: Nelson Books, 2006.
- Burridge, R. A. *Imitating Jesus: An Inclusive Approach to New Testament Ethics*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2007.
- Carter, Warren. *Seven Events That Shaped the New Testament World*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2013.

- _____. *The Roman Empire and the New Testament: An Essential Guide*. Nashville: Abingdon, 2006.
- Elliott, J. K. *The Apocryphal New Testament: A Collection of Apocryphal Christian Literature in an English Translation Based on M. R James*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1993.
- Evans, Craig A., and Stanley E. Porter, eds. *Dictionary of New Testament Backgrounds*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2000.
- Ferguson, Everett. *Backgrounds to Early Christianity*. 3rd ed. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2003.
- Fiensy, David, and James Riley Strange, eds. *Galilee in the Late Second Temple and Mishnaic Periods: Volume 1 - Life, Culture and Society*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2014.
- Green, Joel B., Scott McKnight, I. Howard Marshall, eds. *Dictionary of Jesus and the Gospels*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1997.
- Hawthorne, Gerald F., Ralph P. Martin, and Daniel G. Reid, eds. *Dictionary of Paul and his Letters*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1993.
- Hays, Richard B. *The Moral Vision of the New Testament: A Contemporary Introduction to New Testament Ethics*. San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco, 1996.
- Horsley, Richard A., and Neil Asher Silberman. *The Message and the Kingdom: How Jesus and Paul Ignited a Revolution and Transformed the Ancient World*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2002.
- Hurtado, Larry W. *Lord Jesus Christ: Devotion to Jesus in the Earliest Church*. Grand Rapids, Michigan; Cambridge, U.K.: Eerdmans, 2003.
- Jeffers, James S. *The Greco-Roman World of the New Testament Era: Exploring the Background of Early Christianity*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1999.
- Jeremias, Joachim. *Jerusalem in the Time of Jesus: An Investigation into Economic and Social Conditions during the New Testament Period*. Translated by F.H. Cave and C.H. Cave. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1969.
- Johnson, Luke Timothy. *Among the Gentiles: Greco-Roman Religion and Christianity*. New Haven; London: Yale University Press, 2009.
- Klauck, Hans-Josef. *The Religious Context of Early Christianity: A Guide to Graeco-Roman Religions*. Translated by Brian McNeil. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2003.
- Kruger, Michael J. *The Question of Canon: Challenging the Status Quo in the New Testament Debate*. Downers Grove, IL: Inter-Varsity Press, 2013.
- Marshall, I. Howard, ed. *New Testament Interpretation: Essays on Principles and Methods*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1977.
- Martin, Ralph P., and Peter H. Davids, eds. *Dictionary of the Later New Testament and its Development*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1997.
- McDonald, Lee Martin. *The Biblical Canon: Its Origin, Transmission, and Authority*. Peabody, Massachusetts: Hendrickson Publishers, 2007.
- Moule, C. F. D. *The Birth of the New Testament*. Black's New Testament Commentaries. London: Adam & Charles Black, 1966 (1961).
- Robinson, John A. T. *Redating the New Testament*. London; Philadelphia: SCM Press; Westminster, 1976.
- VanderKam, James C. *An Introduction to Early Judaism*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2001.
- _____. *The Dead Sea Scrolls Today*. Grand Rapids, MI: W. B. Eerdmans, 1994.

Wright, N.T. *The New Testament and the People of God*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1992.

VI. FINAL COMMENTS

This course seeks to provide a broad general introduction to the study of New Testament theology and history. In the interests of achieving the desired aims and goals, it may be necessary to change some details in this syllabus.