

“The mission of Tyndale Seminary is to provide Christ-centred graduate theological education for leaders in the church and society whose lives are marked by intellectual maturity, spiritual vigour and moral integrity, and whose witness will faithfully engage culture with the Gospel.”

Course	FOUNDATIONAL PERSPECTIVES OF CHRISTIAN COUNSELLING COUN 0574
Date and Time	JANUARY 14 – APRIL 8, 2021 THURSDAYS, 8:15 – 11:05AM
Instructor	Dr. KERN STANBERRY, DMin, RP, RMFT CAMFT/AAMFT Clinical Fellow & Approved Supervisor Telephone: (416) 226-6620 ext. 2106 Email: kstanberry@tyndale.ca
Class Information	The classes will be livestreamed on Thursdays 8:45 AM–11:05 AM. The time from 8:15AM – 8:45AM on Thursdays is designated for students to review the prerecorded class lecture outline, concepts and summaries posted on the Moodle page for each class session. <u>Office hours:</u> Email by appointment only on Tuesdays, 10:00am – 1:00pm and Thursdays, 1:00pm – 3:00pm.
Course Material	Access course material at classes.tyndale.ca or other services at Tyndale One . Course emails will be sent to your @MyTyndale.ca e-mail account ONLY. Learn how to access and forward emails to your personal account.

I. COURSE DESCRIPTION

Foundational for subsequent counselling courses. Topics: the integration of psychology and theology, the study of the self, the nature of Christian counselling, approaches to Christian counselling, resources in Christian counselling.

II. LEARNING OUTCOMES

At the end of the course, students will be able to:

1. Describe from their own perspective, what it is that makes Christian Counselling, Christian
2. Articulate various contemporary options in the “integration of psychology and theology” and to competently present their own position.
3. Articulate and value a Christian understanding of the nature of personhood, pathology and health with particular emphasis on the safe and effective use of self; supported by spirit, mind and body competence in accordance with ethical practice and effective resource acquisition.
4. Begin to identify the essential elements of Affective, Relational, Cognitive, and Behavioural therapy as they apply to the highest standards of therapeutic process.
5. Begin to demonstrate the therapeutic application of Scripture and Prayer in the counselling process.

III. COURSE REQUIREMENTS

A. REQUIRED READING

Johnson, E. L. (Ed.). (2010). [*Psychology and Christianity: Five Views*](#). Downers Grove: InterVarsity Press (ISBN 978-0-8308-7661-7)

Malony, H. Newton & Augsburger, D. (2007). *Christian Counseling - An Introduction*. Nashville: Abingdon Press (ISBN 9780687332830)

McMinn, M.R. (2012). [*Psychology, Theology and Spirituality in Christian Counseling*](#). Wheaton: Tyndale House. (ISBN 9781414349237 1414349238)

Aist, Clark S. (2012). [*The Recovery of Religious and Spiritual Significance in American Psychiatry*](#). *Journal of Religion and Health* 51, no. 3, 615-629.

B. SUPPLEMENTARY / RECOMMENDED READING AND TOOLS

Carlson, D. (1976). [*Jesus' style of relating: The search for a biblical view of counseling*](#). *Journal of Psychology and Theology*, 4, 181-192.

Carter, J.D., & Narramore, B. (2018). [*The Integration of Psychology and Theology: An Introduction*](#). Grand Rapids: Zondervan. (ISBN 0310080908)

Crabb, L.J. (1977). [Effective Biblical Counseling](#). Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan. (ISBN 0-310-22570-1) pg. 31-56.

Kirwin, W.T. (1984). [Biblical Concepts for Christian Counselling](#). Grand Rapids: Baker Book House. (ISBN 0 8010 5454 0). Pg. 27-31

Powlison, D. (1992). Integration or Inundation? M.S. Horton (Ed.), *Power Religion: The Selling Out of the Evangelical Church?* Chicago: Moody Press Chapter 8 pp 191-218.

These texts are reserved in the library and can be checked out on a limited time basis.

Individuals planning a career as a clinical counsellor are strongly encouraged to access some of the following resources in order to increase the depth and breadth of their understanding of the importance of healthy spirit, mind and body in ethical therapeutic practice:

- *Olthuis, J. H. (2006). [The Beautiful Risk: A New Psychology of Loving and Being Loved](#). Eugene, OR: Wipf and Stock. (ISBN 1-59752-586-3)*
- *Myers, D.G. and Jeeves, M.A. (1987). [Psychology Through the Eyes of Faith](#). San Francisco: Harper Collins. (ISBN 0-06-065557-7)*
- *Barton, R.H. (2006). [Sacred Rhythms: Arranging Our Lives for Spiritual Transformation](#). Downers Grove: Inter-Varsity Press. (ISBN 13-9780830833337)*
- *Woody, R.H. and J.V., (Eds.). (2001), [Ethics in Marriage and Family Therapy](#), The American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy. Alexandria: www.aamft.org.*

A number of journals (in our library and/or online) are devoted to the area of counselling:

- *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy (www.aamft.org)*
- *Journal of Pastoral Care and Counseling (<http://jpcp.org/jpcc.htm>)*
- *Journal of Psychology and Christianity (<http://caps.net/membership/publications/jpc>)*
- *Journal of Psychology and Theology (<http://journals.biola.edu/jpt>)*
- *Psychotherapy Networker (www.psychotherapynetworker.org)*

Tyndale recommends www.stepbible.org – a free and reputable online resource developed by Tyndale House (Cambridge, England) – for word searches of original-language texts, as well as for topical searches, interlinear texts, dictionaries, etc. Refer to the library for other [online resources for Biblical Studies](#).

C. INTERACTIVE LIVESTREAM AND/OR HYBRID COURSE REQUIREMENTS

- Livestream attendance at the prescribed times
- Headphones (preferred), built-in microphone, and web-camera
- Well-lit and quiet room

- Stable high-speed internet connection, preferably using an Ethernet cable over Wi-Fi

D. ASSIGNMENTS AND GRADING

1. Philosophy of Counselling Paper: Due February 11th, 8:15 am; 40% of final grade.

- Submission Due Date: Thursday, February 11th, 8:15 am – *Submit to appropriate Moodle assignment folder*
- Exchange Due Date: Thursday, February 11th, 8:15 am – *E-mail to partner (Tyndale email)*
- Interaction Due Date: Thursday, February 25th, 8:15am – *Use emails and or MS Teams*

1.1 Paper Description and Requirements

Each student will analyze one of the current methods of psychotherapy (for example, Cognitive Behavioral; Affective or Relational, Sensorimotor, Emotion Focused). The aim is to evaluate the underlying philosophical assumptions of the therapeutic model from a Christian perspective. In doing so, you are required to present a clear description of the model by addressing the following:

- How does the model view human personhood – are we good? Evil? Does it depend on nature? nurture? What is the relationship between body, soul, spirit?
- How does the model view the etiology of pathology?
- How does the model view the nature of health and wholeness?
- How does the model view the nature of the process of change?
- How does the model view Spirituality and the place of God in the healing process?
- How consistent is the model with Christian teachings?
- Your assessment of whether a Christian therapist might be able to use this model, and if so, under what circumstances.

1.2 Format of Paper

Your paper should be written in APA style format, in Times New Roman 12pt. fonts and should not exceed 10 pages (including title page and bibliography). Please use the above bullet points as section headings in your paper.

1.3 Exchange of papers

On the exchange date, you will exchange your paper with a pre-selected partner.

Note: *For maximum benefit, choose a partner who you do not know well and who is different from you in as many ways as possible.* You will then have one week to read your partner's paper and provide helpful feedback on both the style and the content of

their paper. A feedback form has been provided for this purpose. **Please do not write on, or edit, your partner's paper!**

1.4 Partner Interaction and Self-evaluation Submission

By the **Interaction Due Date**, one week later, you will have interacted (*via Tyndale email or MS Team only*) with your partner, received their written feedback about your paper and had some dialogue about their evaluation. Following this interaction with your partner, you would have also critiqued your own paper using the self-evaluation form provided. **Papers cannot be altered or edited after it is evaluated by your partner and yourself.**

You will then **submit your partner's feedback and your own feedback** forms to the appropriate Moodle assignment page **by the Interaction Due Date.**

1.5 Professor's Review

The professor will read and grade each paper based on the assignment description and requirements and assign the grade merited, up to 40% of the final course grade. Dependent on class size, a marker may be employed to assist with the paper evaluation and grading.

This assignment is related to learning outcomes #'s: 1, 3, 4 & 5.

2. Perspectives on Integration Paper: Due March 25th, 8:15 am; 40% of final grade.

- Submission Due Date: Thursday, March 25th 8:15 am – *Submit to appropriate Moodle assignment folder*
- Exchange Due Date: Thursday, March 25th 8:15 am – *E-mail to partner (Tyndale email)*
- Interaction Due Date: April 1st 8:15am – *Use emails and/or MS Teams*

Your paper must be no more than 10 pages in length (including title page and bibliography), written in APA style format, with Times New Roman and 12pt. fonts. Your paper should include a proper introduction (not more than 1 page in length) and a proper conclusion (not more than 1 page in length).

2.1 Paper Description and Requirement

You are required to:

1. Articulate a clear perspective of your view of the integration of theology/Christianity and psychology. The strength of your paper will be based on how thoroughly you

- present the rationale for supporting your perspectives. In doing so, you will draw from your reflections on:
- a. Your own life experiences
 - b. The course readings
 - c. The class lectures
 - d. Your learning from interviews with two professional therapist or counselling pastor
2. State which one of the “Five views” (Johnson), most closely resembles your view on the relationship between theology/Christianity and psychology and discuss the reasons why you would advocate for that perspective?
 3. State which one of the “Five views” is farthest away from your view on the relationship between theology/Christianity and psychology and discuss the reasons why you would reject that perspective.

Please note:

- a. You are not required to spend time describing the actual views themselves, as this is already done in the (Johnson’s) text. A paper that simply regurgitates the course and text material will not be considered to have fulfilled the requirements of the assignment. Your personal critical interaction with all elements of the course material and your own experiences, values and perspectives are expected to be articulated in your paper.
- b. As you interact with the lecture material, textbooks, journal articles and assignments in this class, your own integration position will start to become more clearly articulated in your mind. This paper will give you the opportunity to clarify your current perspective on this issue in writing. This does not presume that your position is “carved in stone” and will never change, nor does it assume that you have a very elaborate position worked out at this point. It does mean that you have a statement that is at least somewhat developed at this point in time.

2.2 Conduct two interviews:

Select two Christian Therapists, (one could be a pastor), who are currently providing counselling services in their work context. Prepare a list of questions, quotes and/or comments that will form the basis of a minimum one-hour interview with each person.

The interview must address the following questions:

- What is the person’s attitude toward the field of psychology/counselling?
- What do they base that attitude on?
- How does this counsellor/pastor integrate counselling and psychology, if at all, into their ministry with individuals, couples and families?

The purpose of this assignment is also for skills development and community building. Be sure to *ask the interviewees to sign the consent form* (at the end of this syllabus) before the interview begins and list your interviewees on your references/sources page and annotate their comments in your paper as per APA style format. The consent form must be submitted with your paper.

2.3 Exchange of Papers Interaction with Partner

On the Exchange Date you will exchange (*via Tyndale email or MS Team only*) your paper with a pre-selected partner (you may use the same partner as for Paper 1) at 8:15 am. You will then have one week to read your partner's paper and provide helpful feedback on both the style and the content of his/her paper. A feedback form has been provided for this purpose. **Please do not write comments on your partner's paper.**

2.4 Partner Interaction and Self-evaluation Submission

By the **Interaction Due Date**, one week later, you will have interacted (*via Tyndale email or MS Team only*) with your partner, received their written feedback about your paper and had some dialogue about their evaluation. Following this interaction with your partner, you would have also critiqued your own paper using the self-evaluation form provided. **Papers cannot be altered or edited after it is evaluated by your partner and yourself.**

You will then **submit your partner's feedback and your own feedback** forms to the appropriate Moodle assignment page **by the Interaction Due Date.**

2.5 Professor's Review

The professor will read and grade each paper based on the assignment description and requirements and assign the grade merited, up to 40% of the final course grade. Dependent on class size, a marker may be employed to assist with the paper evaluation and grading.

This assignment is related to learning outcomes #'s: 1, 2, 3 & 5.

3. Discussion Group: 10% of final grade.

Throughout the semester you will be dividing up into small groups for discussion. The group discussion will be focused on respective chapters/article of:

- a. Johnson, E. L. (Ed.). (2010). [*Psychology and Christianity: Five Views*](#). Downers Grove: InterVarsity Press (ISBN 978-0-8308-7661-7)
- b. Aist, Clark S. (2012). [*The Recovery of Religious and Spiritual Significance in American Psychiatry*](#). *Journal of Religion and Health* 51, no. 3, 615-629.

The professor will determine the composition of these groups in the first week of class and create the respective group schedule. You will be advised which group you are in by the second week of class.

The discussion groups have a three-fold purpose: they help students connect with one another at a more personal level, share thoughts/ideas on relevant issues topics treated in the course, and facilitate an interactive learning class community.

3.1 Discussion Group Tasks

Each participant shall demonstrate a thorough understanding of the content and applicability of Johnson's book/Aist's Article and will be ready to participate in a collegial discussion in a manner that is open and honest in relation to one's own perspective and respectful of the perspective of others. Colleague evaluations will be clear and honest and will be presented in a way that encourages the other in relation to his/her personal and professional growth. Your discussion will be focused on the following questions:

- a) What are some of the most helpful aspects in this section of the book/article for you personally and professionally?
- b) How does the author's perspective in this section differ from your own perspective?
- c) What difference will this information make in your counselling practice going forward?

3.2 Group Discussion Schedule Dates

The formal discussion groups will be approximately 45 minutes in length, take place on the following dates and focused on the respective readings indicted:

Discussion #1: Thursday, January 21st	Johnson 2011; Chapter 1 and 2
Discussion #2: Thursday, February 4th	Johnson 2011; Chapter 3 and 4
Discussion #3: Thursday, February 25th	Johnson 2011; Chapter 5
Discussion #4: Thursday, March 11 th	Johnson 2011; Chapter 6 and 7
Discussion #5: Thursday, March 25 th	Aist 2012
Discussion #6: Thursday, April 8 th	GROUP FEEDBACK

Each group is free to organize itself in any way it wishes. It is very important that you show respect to your colleagues regarding your attendance, punctuality and preparation.

The last group discussion time will be used for the group feedback process. Each group will decide how it will conduct this process before the last group discussion period. The only criteria are:

- Each person must receive a feedback form from each of the other individuals;

- An opportunity for verbal interaction must be provided for each individual;
- All evaluations must be completed within the assigned group discussion time.
- The written evaluation should be written **to** the person not **about** him/her and will be given directly to the person during the last discussion group (you may use your Tyndale e-mails or share file in Teams, if you know how to).
- At the bottom of the form is a space for you to assign a mark out of 100 for each member of the group. Each individual's mark for this section of the course will be the average of all the assessments. An assessment form has been included at the end of this syllabus.
- When you have received an assessment form from each person, read it, note and discuss whatever is significant for you, then initial each evaluation form in the space provided at the bottom.

NOTE: EVERYONE MUST BE PRESENT AND ON TIME FOR THE FINAL GROUP FEEDBACK!!

This assignment is related to learning outcomes #'s: 1, 2 & 5.

4. Reading and class participation: Due April 8th by 11:59pm; 10% of final grade.

It is important that you read all of the required reading with diligence and understanding. This is best done in chunks, throughout the course, on a weekly basis. In this way you will be able to assimilate material systematically and not find yourself cramming at the end. You may wish to coordinate your reading according to the content of the lectures and/or the assignments.

On the due date you will be required to hand in a sheet (found at the end of this syllabus) on which you will have checked off both all the material that you have read from the required readings and also your self-assessment in terms of your class participation, not just attendance but contribution. The ideal is that you will have read with diligence and understanding, although that will not mean that you have committed everything to memory. There will be an opportunity for you to give yourself a mark out of 5 for each of the reading and class participation categories at the end of the semester and, assuming your own integrity in the completion of all the required reading and your faithful attendance and meaningful engagement in classes, you will give yourself a mark of 10.

Please submit this reading and class participation evaluation sheet to the appropriate assignment folder on the course Moodle page by the due date.

This assignment is related to learning outcomes #'s: 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5.

E. EQUITY OF ACCESS

Students with permanent or temporary disabilities who need academic accommodations must [contact](#) the [Accessibility Services](#) at the [Centre for Academic Excellence](#) to [register](#) and discuss their specific needs. *New students* must self-identify and register with the Accessibility Office at the beginning of the semester or as early as possible to access appropriate services. *Current students* must renew their plans as early as possible to have active accommodations in place.

F. SUMMARY OF ASSIGNMENTS AND GRADING

Evaluation is based upon the completion of the following assignments by the due dates:

Description	% Final Grade	Due Dates
Philosophy of Christian Counselling Paper	40 %	Feb 11 th
Perspectives on Integration Paper	40 %	Mar 25 th
Discussion Group Participation	10 %	April 8 th
Required Reading and Class Participation	10 %	April 8 th
Total Grade	100 %	

G. GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR THE SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN WORK

Deadlines: Papers are due at the beginning of class as per the respective assignments' due dates. Grades for papers handed in late without an approved extension will be reduced by 2/3 of a grade per week or part thereof (e.g. "A+" to "A-," or "B" to "C+"). For further details and information to request permission for an extension, please refer to Tyndale's Seminary [Academic Calendar](#) for Academic. All assignments must be completed and submitted in order to receive a credit in this course.

NOTE: Each student is responsible to read the document "Guidelines for Evaluation and Submission of Academic Papers" in order to understand the evaluation standards for this course. **All assignments are to be written in [APA format](#), Times New Roman 12 pt. double spaced and typed.**

Academic Integrity

Integrity in academic work is required of all our students. Academic dishonesty is any breach of this integrity, and includes such practices as cheating (the use of unauthorized material on tests and examinations), submitting the same work for different classes without permission of the instructors; using false information (including false references to secondary sources) in an assignment; improper or unacknowledged collaboration with other students, and plagiarism. Tyndale University takes seriously its responsibility to uphold academic integrity, and to penalize academic dishonesty.

Students are encouraged to consult [Writing Services](#). Citation and other [tip sheets](#).

Students should also consult the current [Academic Calendar](#) for academic policies on Academic Honesty, Gender Inclusive Language in Written Assignments, Late Papers and Extensions, Return of Assignments, and Grading System.

Research Ethics

All course-based assignments involving human participants requires ethical review and may require approval by the [Tyndale Research Ethics Board \(REB\)](#). Check with the Seminary Dean's Office (aa@tyndale.ca) before proceeding.

H. COURSE EVALUATION

Tyndale Seminary values quality in the courses it offers its students. End-of-course evaluations provide valuable student feedback and are one of the ways that Tyndale Seminary works towards maintaining and improving the quality of courses and the student's learning experience. Student involvement in this process is critical to enhance the general quality of teaching and learning.

Before the end of the course, students will receive a MyTyndale email with a link to the online course evaluation. The link can also be found in the left column on the course page. The evaluation period is 2 weeks; after the evaluation period has ended, it cannot be reopened.

Course Evaluation results will not be disclosed to the instructor before final grades in the course have been submitted and processed. Student names will be kept confidential and the instructor will only see the aggregated results of the class.

IV. COURSE SCHEDULE, CONTENT AND RELATED READINGS

Given the nature of the material and the size of the class, the primary method of instruction in this class will be lecture. However, there will be ample time for dialogue, questions, small group discussions, and discussion of practical examples.

The lectures will focus on topics beyond those found in the texts. If talking about the texts is helpful to you, we would encourage you to pair off with someone in class to do this. Regular attendance in, and punctuality for, all classes is expected for all students. Absence from more than 3 classes may result in the loss of credit.

INTRODUCTION (Week 1 & 2)

Week # 1-What is Christian Counselling?

Week # 2-Epistemology & Worldview.

Related Reading: Chapters 1 & 2: Johnson* (Group)

INTEGRATION OF PSYCHOLOGY & THEOLOGY (Week 3 & 4)

- the rift between psychology & theology
- the turnaround; the church's perspectives
- five views of the relationship between psychology and theology
- the power and place of God in Christian Counselling

Week # 3:

Related Reading: *The Integration of Psychology and Theology: An Introduction*, Carter & Narramore; *Integration or Inundation*, Powlison, D.

Week # 4:

Related Reading: Chapter 3: Johnson* (Group); Chapters 1-4: Malony; Chapters 1 & 2: McMinn.

THE STUDY OF THE SELF (Week 5, 6, 7):

- structure of the self
- safe and effective use of self: spirit and body
- integration of person, theory and practice

Week # 5: Structure of Self. **Paper # 1 due.**

Week # 6: Safe & Effective Use of Self-Spirituality.

Related Reading: Chapter 4: Johnson*(Group)

Week # 7: Safe & Effective Use of Self- Physiological.

Related Reading: *Effective Biblical Counselling*, Crabb; *Why All the Fuss About the Body*, Caroline Bynum

APPROACHES TO CHRISTIAN COUNSELLING AND FUNCTIONS OF SELF (Week 8, 9, 10, 11)

- Cognitive
- Affective
- Behavioral
- Relational

Week # 8: Safe & Effective Use of Self -Psychological. Introduction to Cognitive Therapy.

Related Reading: Chapter 5: Johnson* (Group)

Week # 9: Introduction to Affective Therapy.
Related Reading: *Jesus Style of Relating*, Carlson

Week # 10: **Paper # 2 Due.** Introduction to Behavioral Therapy.
Related Reading: Group Discussion: Chapter 6, 7: Johnson* (Group)

Week # 11: Introduction to Relational Therapy.
Related Reading: *Biblical Concepts for Christian Counselling*, Kirwan; Introduction to Family Systems.

COMMON THEMES IN CHRISTIAN COUNSELLING (Week 12)

Due: Reading report, class participation report, group feedback forms

Johnson, E. L. (Ed.). (2010). [*Psychology and Christianity: Five Views*](#). Downers Grove: InterVarsity Press (ISBN 978-0-8308-7661-7)

1. PHILOSOPHY OF COUNSELLING PAPER (#1)

PARTNER FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION

Feedback for: _____

Peer Evaluator's Name: _____

Comments: Writing Style (spelling, grammar, punctuation)

Comments: Academic Style (proper citation of references, quotes, bibliography)

Creativity (degree of originality)

Comments: Development of thesis (how well does the paper make its point?)

1. PHILOSOPHY OF COUNSELLING PAPER (#1)

SELF CRITIQUE AND EVALUATION

Self-Critique of: _____

Peer Evaluator's Name: _____

Comments: Writing Style (spelling, grammar, punctuation)

Comments: Academic Style (proper citation of references, quotes, bibliography)

Creativity (degree of originality)

Comments: Development of thesis (how well does the paper make its point?)

2. PERSPECTIVES ON INTEGRATION PAPER (#2)

PARTNER FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION

Feedback for: _____

Peer Evaluator's Name: _____

Comments: Writing Style (spelling, grammar, punctuation)

Comments: Academic Style (proper citation of references, quotes, bibliography)

Comments: Research (use of related material, quotes, depth of research)

Comments: Creativity (degree of originality)

Comments: Development of thesis (how well does the paper make its point?)

2. PERSPECTIVES ON INTEGRATION PAPER (#2)

SELF-EVALUATION FORM

Self-Critique of: _____

Peer Evaluator's Name: _____

AFTER REFLECTING ON MY PAPER IN LIGHT OF MY COLLEAGUE'S COMMENTS I MAKE THE FOLLOWING COMMENTS:

Comments: Writing Style (spelling, grammar, punctuation)

Comments: Academic Style (proper citation of references, quotes, bibliography)

Comments: Research (use of related material, quotes, depth of research)

Comments: Creativity (degree of originality)

Comments: Development of thesis (how well does the paper make its point?)

READING REPORT

NAME: (please print) _____
(Last Name) (First Name)

Please evaluate your engagement with the required texts for this course by circling the appropriate number according to the following scale:

1. I didn't read the text
2. I read parts of the text
3. I read most of the text
4. I read and understood most of the text
5. I read the text and feel confident in being able to apply what I read.

BOOKS:

Psychology and Christianity: Five Views 1 2 3 4 5

Christian Counselling - An Introduction 1 2 3 4 5

Psychology, Theology and Spirituality in Christian counselling 1 2 3 4 5

ARTICLES:

Jesus' style of relating 1 2 3 4 5

Integration or inundation? 1 2 3 4 5

Effective biblical counselling 1 2 3 4 5

Biblical concepts for Christian counselling 1 2 3 4 5

MY SELF-ASSIGNED MARK FOR THE READING REQUIREMENTS FOR THIS COURSE OUT OF A

POSSIBLE 5 POINTS IS: _____ POINTS

CLASS PARTICIPATION REPORT

1. I missed several classes
2. I attended but hardly ever participated
3. I participated from time to time
4. I participated regularly
5. I made significant contributions to the class

MY SELF-ASSIGNED MARK FOR THE PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS FOR THIS COURSE OUT OF

A POSSIBLE 5 POINTS IS: _____ POINTS

MY SELF-ASSIGNED MARK FOR THE COMBINED READING AND CLASS PARTICIPATION

REQUIREMENTS FOR THIS COURSE OUT OF A POSSIBLE 10 POINTS IS: _____

SMALL GROUP FEEDBACK FORM

(Please make sufficient photocopies of this form for each member of your small group).

Person being assessed:

(Print)

LAST NAME

FIRST NAME

Person doing the assessment: _____

Last name

First Name

Instruction: For each of the following questions, please indicate either "GOOD", "FAIR" or "POOR"

- Overall contributions to the discussions _____
- Ability to listen carefully to the opinions of other group members _____
- Willingness to participate verbally _____
- Attendance and punctuality at group discussions _____
- Disagrees sensitively and respectfully _____
- Seemed prepared for all group discussions _____

GENERAL STRENGTHS THAT I OBSERVED:

SMALL GROUP FEEDBACK FORM (Continued)

SUGGESTIONS FOR INCREASED PARTICIPATION AND EFFECTIVENESS:

SOME SUGGESTIONS FOR PERSONAL/PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT:

FINAL MARK OUT OF 100 _____ BASED ON THE ABOVE

Initials of person being assessed: _____

TYNDALE UNIVERSITY

COUN 0574 FOUNDATIONAL PERSPECTIVES OF CHRISTIAN COUNSELLING

INSTRUCTOR: DR. KERN STANBERRY

STATEMENT OF CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE IN EDUCATIONAL INTERVIEW
AS PART OF THE COURSE REQUIREMENTS

I/We, _____ hereby give my/our
consent to participate in the research interview carried out by (student)

as part of the course requirements for knowledge/skill development purposes only.

I/we recognize that anonymity and confidentiality will be respected and that any and all
information collected will be used solely for the intended purpose of the assignment.

Signature(s): _____

Date: _____