

*“The mission of Tyndale Seminary is to provide Christ-centred graduate theological education for leaders in the church and society whose lives are marked by intellectual maturity, spiritual vigour and moral integrity, and whose witness will faithfully engage culture with the Gospel.”*

<b>Semester, Year</b>	<b>FALL, 2026</b>
<b>Course Title</b>	<b>REVELATION: HOPE IN A WORLD GONE WRONG</b>
<b>Course Code</b>	NEWT 0526 1A
<b>Date</b>	From Sept 14th, 2026 to Dec 13th, 2026
<b>Delivery Format</b>	ASYNCHRONOUS ONLINE
<b>Class information</b>	This course is web-based asynchronous with no fixed class time.
<b>Instructor Contact Information</b>	<b>WILLIAM J. WEBB, Ph.D.</b> Email: <a href="mailto:bwebb@tyndale.ca">bwebb@tyndale.ca</a>
<b>Office Hours</b>	You are welcome to post questions in the appropriate forums online. For other matters where more direct communication is helpful, we can set up a phone call, Zoom or, if possible, meet at any Starbucks in Waterloo, ON (where I live).
<b>Course Materials</b>	Access course material at <a href="https://classes.tyndale.ca">classes.tyndale.ca</a> or other services at <a href="#">Tyndale One</a> . Course emails will be sent to your @MyTyndale.ca e-mail account only.

## I. COURSE DESCRIPTION

A study of the Book of Revelation with a focus on its literary genre, theological themes, and the various schools of interpretation. A detailed study of the argument with a view to teaching and preaching its contents.

This course is designed to guide the student in a study of the final and somewhat unique book of the canon, namely, Revelation. Special emphasis will be placed on the genre issue, the different hermeneutical approaches to the book, crucial interpretive issues, the book’s literary structure and artistry, and its distinctively high Christology. We will also discuss the important question of teaching and applying the book in our present-day, apocalyptically fearful/sensitive setting.

*Prerequisite: BIBL 0501.*

*Recommended: NEWT 0522.*

## II. LEARNING OUTCOMES

At the end of the course, by:

1. Reading the book of Revelation along with a series of extra-biblical apocalypses,
2. Presenting three original-audience analyses of the “esoteric” apocalyptic visions and critically evaluating other student’s presentations,
3. Discussing the meaning of several challenging texts with the professor and colleagues,
4. Researching and writing an interpretative passage/issue paper, and
5. Reflecting upon course materials (lectures, handouts, textbooks, etc.),

Students will be able to:

1. Trace through the structure and argument of the book of Revelation.
2. Identify the major interpretive issues and begin wrestling through the pros and cons for various options.
3. Utilize the literary and metaphorical artistry of the book to clarify, rather than cloud, the author’s intended meaning.
4. Examine John’s apocalypse within its literary genre and the milieu of extra-biblical apocalyptic writings. [Contrary to popular opinion, the book of Revelation does not present us with “tomorrow’s newspaper” slid underneath our door today].
5. Develop a more resolute faith (despite hardship, difficulties, and setbacks) and be drawn irresistibly into the worship of the Lion and the Lamb.
6. Use the book in various ministry contexts as they better understand how the “strange visions” of John’s apocalypse would have applied to the seven churches (a good starting place for all contemporary application).
7. Trace through certain biblical themes—sacrifice, temple, holy war, God’s glory, creation, covenant(s), etc.—to see canonical development and how these apocalyptic visions provide closure to the broader storyline of Scripture.

## III. COURSE REQUIREMENTS

### A. REQUIRED READING

\*Mounce, Robert H. [The Book of Revelation](#). NICNT. Revised edition, Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1998. [ISBN: 0-8028-2537-0]

\*Osborne, Grant R. [Revelation](#). Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2024. [ISBN: 978-1540968456; Note: The 2002 original BECNT edition, the 2022 eBook, and the 2024 reprint of the original are almost identical. Any one of these three options will be fine.]

\*Note: Students may choose to read either Mounce or Osborne depending upon various considerations (see discussion below).

## B. SUPPLEMENTARY / RECOMMENDED READING AND TOOLS

Nickelsburg, George W. E. and James C. VanderKam. [1 Enoch](#). The Hermeneia Translation. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2012.

\*Reddish, Mitchell G. ed. [Apocalyptic Literature: A Reader](#). Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1995. [ISBN: 1-56563-210-9]

\*Note: Reddish's *Apocalyptic Literature* provides a handy collection of many 2TJ (second Temple Judaism) apocalypses and early Christian apocalypses. Unfortunately, it is not always in print. The extra-biblical apocalypses for reading in this course are available online or in numerous other hard-cover volumes.

Stone, Michael E. and Matthias Henze. [4 Ezra and 2 Baruch](#). *Translations, Introductions, and Notes*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2013.

Tyndale recommends [STEPBible](#) – a free and reputable online resource developed by Tyndale House (Cambridge, England) – for word searches of original-language texts, as well as for topical searches, interlinear texts, dictionaries, etc. Refer to the library for other [online resources for Biblical Studies](#).

## C. ASSIGNMENTS AND GRADING

Attendance in this course is demonstrated by regular log-ins and up-to-date participation in forums.

### 1. Reading (10%)

There are four areas of reading requirement for students: (a) the book of Revelation itself, (b) a commentary, (c) ancient Jewish and Christian apocalypses and (d) periodical articles and handouts that are provided online.

***The Book of Revelation.*** The student is required to read the biblical text of Revelation four times during the course:

- twice before the 2nd week (complete book of Revelation)
- twice before each week's lectures (only the portion to be studied)

***A Commentary.*** A student must read through the appropriate portion of the course textbook once (either Mounce or Osborne, not both) *before* each class period. Osborne's commentary is 869 pages; Mounce is a mere 439 pages. Your choice between these two commentaries ought to be influenced by the following considerations:

- reading abilities
- how much do you want to "dig deeper" into the subject matter

- the grade you wish to achieve (see grading rubric)

***Apocalyptic Literature.*** If one is to appreciate the literary genre of Revelation, s/he must have done at least a minimal amount of background reading in similar kinds of apocalyptic literature. By the 4<sup>th</sup> week, the student is to read one “sample piece” of apocalyptic literature (either 2 Baruch or 1 Enoch 1—36, which is known as, “The Book of the Watchers”). This sample reading anticipates our discussion of apocalyptic genre for that week. The student may choose to read a certain number of extra-biblical apocalypses based upon the reading grade that they wish to achieve (see grading rubric).

[Explanatory Aside: In most courses a grade is not given for reading. However, a course on Revelation requires an “added element” of reading because of its unusual kind of literature, namely, its apocalyptic genre. Aside from completing the grading rubric as a measure of one’s reading, the professor will expect students to interact with apocalyptic literature in their final research paper on Revelation. For example, if you choose to do a paper on “Hell”, you will need to work through about 5-6 different (mutually exclusive) options in terms of how Christians understand this subject through asking the question, “Which option is most convincing?” A major part of your discussion will focus on weighing the competing evidence within the book of Revelation itself for choosing between views. In addition to this, however, the presentation of hell within apocalyptic literature more broadly is very important to a genre-based discussion (and validation) of what one finds in the book of Revelation. Other apocalypses can help build a case concerning what John *more likely* meant to say within the book of Revelation. Accordingly, the professor will be looking for interaction with apocalyptic literature in the final paper. Papers on almost every facet of Revelation—the millennium, heaven, the fall of Babylon, etc.—have interpretive issues that require interaction with apocalyptic literature in choosing between competing views.]

***Periodical Articles/Handouts.*** All students must at least read Chisholm’s periodical article on prophetic genre to pass the course. Beyond this minimum reading requirement for this fourth category of periodical articles/handouts, consult the grading rubric for further details.

The grading rubric for course readings will (a) assist in making decisions about how much course reading a student wishes to accomplish and (b) help in understanding exactly how one’s grade for this assignment is derived.

**Due date for reading grade submission:** see schedule below.

## **2. Research-Based Forums [Echoes and Images, parts I, II and III] (45%)**

If one is to apply the apocalyptic visions of Revelation to today’s generation in a cogent manner, they must think through what “sermonic/pastoral/theological impact” the scroll would have had upon those who first encountered the text. The best measure of the intended impact on the original audience is to picture what would have gone through the minds of the various

congregations, i.e., the seven churches (chapters 2–3) and John himself (chapter 1), as they listened to the letter being read aloud. What were these visions saying to them about how they ought to act/think/feel in their own life setting?

One way to gain this kind of first-audience perspective is to listen carefully in the text of chps. 4\*–22 for “echoes and images” that correlate with the seven letters. In other words, listen for where you detect a verbal link or a conceptual link between the chapters 1–3 (John and the seven churches) and chapters 4\*–22 (the apocalyptic visions). List these verbal links and conceptual links.

Echoes. For *verbal links* (we will call them, “echoes”), it is helpful to type out the visions portion of biblical text first. Below this material from chapters 4\*–22, type out the connecting section of the “John on Patmos and the letters” (chapters 1–3). Then, highlight in bold or italic the material that corresponds. For example, a clear verbal link obviously exists between 19:15 and 2:26-27:

19:15

Out of his mouth comes a sharp sword with which to strike down the nations. **He will rule them with an iron scepter.** He treads the wine press of the fury of the wrath of God Almighty.

2:26-27

To him who overcomes and does my will to the end, I will give authority over the nations—**he will rule them with an iron scepter**; he will dash them to pieces like pottery—just as I have received authority from my Father.

Images. For *conceptual links* (we will call them, “images”), simply type out both portions of text, underline or italics the related material in each, and specify (in your own words) the nature of the conceptual link. Such an example of conceptual linkage would appear to exist between 20:1-6 and 2:10:

20:1-7

I saw an angel . . . holding in his hand a **great chain**. He **seized** the dragon/devil . . . and **bound** him for a **thousand years**. . . . I saw thrones on which were seated those who had been given authority to judge. And I saw the souls of those who had been beheaded because of their testimony for Jesus and because of the word of God. . . . They came to life and reigned with Christ **a thousand years**. . . . they will be priests of God and of Christ and will reign with him for a thousand years. When the thousand years are over, Satan will be released from his **prison** . . .

## 2:10

I tell you, the devil will put some of you [Christians] **in prison** to test you, and you will suffer persecution for **ten days**. Be faithful, even to the point of death, and I will give you the crown of life.

Link/point: contrast between a “short” time of persecution *versus* a “long . . . long” time of better things to come.<sup>1</sup> Cf. short time of devil’s persecution (imprisoning Christians) *versus* long time of his being imprisoned! Aside from the theme of eschatological reversal (one answer to theodicy) in terms of imprisonment, there is a short-vs.-long dichotomy in the sentencing.

This assignment is to be completed as three separate Research-Based [RB] Forums:

- Research-Based Forum #1 [Echoes and Images, part I] (**6:1—11:14**)
- Research-Based Forum #2 [Echoes and Images, part II] (**11:15—16:21**)
- Research-Based Forum #3 [Echoes and Images, part III] (**17:1—22:5**)

Please organize the materials according to the progress of verse designations throughout the visions, i.e., according to the flow of the biblical text from 4:1\* to 22:5 (not according to the church letters). Mix the echoes and images as they naturally surface within the flow of the biblical text (no need to keep them in two distinct categories). Near to the grading rubric for this two-part assignment, the professor will give a brief lecture covering a series of practical hints or tips for achieving greater success in this assignment. Unlike the final paper (see below), the form/style of these two forum assignments does not need to conform to Chicago writing style.

[\*Note: For pragmatic/scheduling reasons we are going to only start the first Echoes and Images assignment at 6:1 (not 4:1) even though 4:1 would be the natural starting place.]

A grading rubric will assist in understanding how the grade for this assignment is derived. For late submissions of a Research-Based Forum, see below under H. GUIDELINES FOR THE SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN WORK.

**Due dates:** see schedule below.

### **3. Final Research Paper (45%)**

Students must write a final research paper on some aspect of meaning in the book of Revelation. You may choose (a) an interpretive issue, (b) an ethical problem, or (c) a thematic development of a subject. The grade ceiling of “B” will most likely follow for the third option (thematic development) because a descriptive paper is *generally* easier than an interpretive-issue or an ethical-problem paper.

---

<sup>1</sup> Most examples will be comparative. However, you will want to think contrastively as well.

The final paper must strive for excellence in three areas: research, writing style and argumentation. A discussion of these three areas along with a grading rubric will be provided. Use the instructions, grading rubric and various resources posted on the course site under “Final Research Paper.” The paper is due *through email submission* as an attached file [[bwebb@tyndale.ca](mailto:bwebb@tyndale.ca)] on the date specified in the syllabus schedule below. See discussion of late submissions below. Length: 15 to 20 double-spaced, 12-point font pages (not including bibliography). Please use single-spaced 10-point footnotes (no endnotes and no short-form, author-year citations within the body of the text). Going over the allowable page limit will result in a reduction of the grade. The final paper must use correct Chicago style in its components—font size, title page, headings, paragraph spacing, margins, block quotes, footnotes, bibliography, etc. See grading rubric.

**Due date: Tuesday December 15<sup>th</sup>, 2026.** See the discussion of late final paper submissions below.

#### **4. Fun Forums (5% bonus on Research Paper)**

Every course needs some fun. Students can achieve a 5% bonus (maximum) towards their final research paper by participation in some “fun forums” during the semester. If you contribute something to the fun forum and interact with at least two other students in that forum, you have just earned yourself a 1% bonus. With each fun forum you participate in, you accumulate another 1% bonus mark towards your final paper. I, the professor, mark(s) your final paper, give it a grade, check to see what your Fun Forum bonus marks are and, hopefully, I can add 5% to boost your grade! So, join us for some fun.

### **DISCUSSION FORUMS, EXPECTATIONS, AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

*Discussion Forums.* Discussion forums are a key aspect of this course. They are your opportunity to wrestle with the assignments/relevant issues under consideration, to share your ideas with your peers, and to engage in shaping the evolving group consensus on some core concepts. There are two types of forums in this course: (a) research-based forums and (b) fun forums. You will discover the difference between these two forum types as you read through the assignment section (below) and in the “Forum Rules” posted online.

*Forum Expectations and Responsibilities.* As you know, the course is being offered online. An online course, by its very nature, is different from one presented using traditional classroom methods. Thus, it is important for you as a student to approach this course differently than you might approach a classroom course.

You have more personal responsibility in a course such as this. We are an online community building our knowledge and understanding together. Doing your readings and regularly showing up online by collaborating in discussions, asking questions, and providing feedback to your

learning cohort are important community responsibilities. Additionally, to keep up in the course it will be important for you to work consistently throughout the semester. You will need to be disciplined and take the initiative to participate.

You are also expected to complete the anonymous course evaluation in the final week of the course.

The role of your instructor is different as well. In an online course, the main role of the instructor is to function as a coach. I will be striving in various ways to fulfill that function. I will be presenting information in various formats along the way, but my main role will be to help guide you through the course so that you can get as much from the course as possible. Throughout, I will be encouraging you to think critically about the course content and the views of others, mine included. *I will be online regularly, but I will not be responding to every post in the discussion forums. Rather, I will make intermittent contributions, summarizing, challenging, and at times suggesting new directions.* One last thing, make sure that you read the documents related to forums that you will find in the resources section of the website (the main window before the 12 weeks start)—i.e., (a) Forum Rules and (b) specific instructions for each forum. These resources provide more detailed information about specific course requirements.

## **GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR THE SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN WORK**

**Submissions.** Kindly email only the final research paper as an attached file (either MSWord or PDF format) to the professor at: [bwebb@tyndale.ca](mailto:bwebb@tyndale.ca) All other research-based assignments are submitted online through postings within the course forums.

**Late FORUM Submissions.** The initial “assignment” post to a RB Forum is due between Monday and Thursday (midnight, Eastern Standard Time) of the week it is scheduled in the course. Two subsequent “critical interaction” posts are due by the end of the week—i.e., by Sunday (midnight, Eastern Standard Time). Any interaction the following week is encouraged but optional. *The penalty for late RB Forum submissions is one letter grade reduction per day late* (rather weighty) since these submissions play an educational component for all students within the course.

### **Late FINAL PAPER Submissions, part I: ON OR BEFORE the “Last Day of Exams” [LDOE]**

**Deadline.** The last day of exams in a semester is the official deadline for any late submissions. After that day the professor cannot accept late work without the explicit/written approval of the dean’s office (see below). If student recognizes that a late submission on or before the LDOE deadline does not involve clear extenuating circumstances (defined below), they may submit the material to the professor as **(i) a late submission with penalty** until midnight of the LDOE. In this case there is no need to contact the professor for approval. For every day late, the grade will be reduced by 5 %, a half a letter grade (i.e., one day late: 82 % A- becomes 77% B+; two days late, 82 % becomes 72 % B-, etc.). Please note that the deduction for a partial day

late counts that same as a full day late. On the other hand, if there are clear extenuating circumstances, the student may ask the professor for **(ii) a late submission without penalty** (or with partial penalty). By clear mitigating circumstances I mean something along the following lines. Suppose I as your professor were to take your circumstances to the class (hypothetically, not in reality) and ask them the following question, “Should I treat this student differently regarding late penalties than I would treat the rest of you based upon their particular [mitigating?] circumstances?” If the class were to respond with an overwhelming, “Yes, of course,” then you have a case of clear mitigating circumstances. If you wish to ask for this sort of late submission without penalty (or with only partial penalty) where the submission is on or before the LDOE, please contact the professor. The student must take the initiative in this matter.

**Late FINAL PAPER Submissions, part II: AFTER the “Last Day of Exams” [LDOE] Deadline.** Any submissions after the LDOE require a formal process of appeal to the Registrar’s Office (not to the professor). Requests for such extensions beyond the LDOE must be (a) submitted in writing using the Tyndale Extension Forms, explaining the reason why the extension is needed and (b) submitted to the registrar before the LDOE unless there are reasons why this was not possible. No assignments will be accepted after the LDOE unless the student has a valid reason for an extension. In that case, the student must apply for an extension to the dean/registrar (again, not to the professor). Extensions will be considered only in cases such as a death in the family, the hospitalization of yourself or a member of your immediate family, or an illness for which you require treatment by a physician. Reference to a heavy workload, other assignments, professional or ministry obligations or holidays do not constitute legitimate grounds for an extension.

**D. SUMMARY OF ASSIGNMENTS AND GRADING**

Evaluation is based upon the completion of the following assignments:

<b>Assignment</b>	<b>%</b>
Reading	10%
Researched-Based Forums [Echoes and Images, parts I, II and III]	45%
Final Research Paper	45%
<u>Fun Forums [bonus added to Research-Paper grade]</u>	<u>*5% bonus (maximum)</u>
<b>Total Grade</b>	<b>100%</b>

**IV. COURSE SCHEDULE, CONTENT AND REQUIRED READINGS**

**Week 1**      Syllabus  
 Authorship, Date, Origin/Destination, & Setting  
 Excursus: “A Biblical Theology of Suffering—Derived from Revelation”

<b>Week 2</b>	Literary Structure 1:1-20	<b>Reading Revelation (2x)</b>
<b>Week 3</b>	2:1 – 3:22 Seven Churches Excursus: “The Overcomers: All Christians or Some Christians?”	
<b>Week 4</b>	4:1 – 5:14 Excursus: “The Literary Genre of 4:1 – 22:5” Excursus: “Methods of Interpreting 4:1 – 22:5” Excursus: “Who are the 24 Elders?”	<b>2 Baruch; 1 Enoch 1 – 36 Chisholm (article)</b>
<b>Week 5</b>	6:1 – 17 Excursus: “The Structure of the STBs—Seals, Trumpets, and Bowls” Excursus: “Apocalyptic Cosmic Catastrophe—Literal or Figurative?”	<b>Echoes and Images, part I</b>
<b>Feb 16-20</b>	<b><i>FAMILY DAY &amp; READINGS DAYS – NO CLASS</i></b> <b><i>This week does <u>not</u> count within the 12-week course schedule.</i></b>	
<b>Week 6</b>	7:1-17 Excursus: “Who are the 144,000?” Excursus: “Who are the Great Multitude?”	
<b>Week 7</b>	8:1—9:21 10:1—11:14	
<b>Week 8</b>	11:15—13:1 13:2-18 Excursus: “666—Calculating the Number of the Beast”	<b>Echoes and Images, part II</b>
<b>Week 9</b>	14:1-20 15:1—16:21 Excursus: “Armageddon, The Place of the Eschatological Battle—Literally?”	
<b>Week 10</b>	17:1—19:10 Excursus: “Babylon the Great: Literal or Figurative Babylon?”	<b>Echoes and Images, part III</b>
<b>Week 11</b>	19:11—21:8	
<b>Week 12</b>	21:9—22:5 22:6-21	

**FINAL RESEARCH PAPER: Due Tuesday December 15<sup>th</sup>, 2026.**

## **V. SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Aune, David E. "Revelation." In *Harper's Bible Commentary*. San Francisco, CA: Harper & Row, 1988.
- Bauckham, R. J. *The Climax of Prophecy: Studies in the Book of Revelation*. Edinburgh, UK: Clark, 1993.
- Bauckham, R. J. *The Theology of the Book of Revelation*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- Beale, Gregory K. *The Book of Revelation*. NIGTC. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1999.
- Beale, Gregory K. *The Use of Daniel in Jewish Apocalyptic Literature and in the Revelation of St. John*. Lanham, MD: University Press of America, 1984.
- Beale, Gregory K. *John's Use of the Old Testament in Revelation*. JSNT Supplement Series. Sheffield, UK: Sheffield Academic Press, 1998.
- Beasley-Murray, G. R. *The Book of Revelation*. NCBC. Revised ed. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1978.
- Beckwith, Isbon T. *The Apocalypse of John*. New York: Macmillan, 1919; reprint, Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1979.
- Blackwell, Ben C., John K. Goodrich, Jason Maston, eds. *Reading Revelation in Context: John's Apocalypse and Second Temple Judaism*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2019.
- Blevins, J. L. *Revelation*. KPG. Atlanta, GA: John Knox, 1988.
- Boring, M. E. *Revelation*. Interpretation. Atlanta, GA: John Knox, 1989.
- Bruce, F. F. "The Revelation of John." In *The International Bible Commentary*. Edited by G. Howley, F. F. Bruce, H. Ellison, and G. C. D. Howley. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1986.
- Buchanan, G. W. *The Book of Revelation: Its Introduction and Prophecy*. MBCNT. Lewiston, NY: Mellon, 1993.
- Bauckham, Richard. *The Theology of the Book of Revelation*. NTT. Cambridge, UK: University Press, 1993.
- Caird, G. B. *A Commentary on the Revelation of St. John the Divine*. HNTC. New York, NY: Harper & Row, 1966.
- Charles, R. H. *A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Revelation of St. John*. 2 vols. ICC. Edinburgh, UK: T & T Clark, 1920.
- Chilton, Bruce. *Visions of the Apocalypse: Receptions of John's Revelation in Western Imagination*. Waco, TX: Baylor University Press, 2013.
- Clark, David S. *The Message from Patmos. A Postmillennial Commentary on the Book of Revelation*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1989.
- Collins, Adela Yarbro. *Crisis & Catharsis: The Power of the Apocalypse*. Philadelphia, PA: Westminster, 1984.
- Collins, Adela Yarbro. *The Apocalypse*. NTM. Wilmington, NC: Glazier, 1979.

- Court, John M. *Myth and History in the Book of Revelation*. Atlanta, GA: John Knox, 1979. Davis, R. D. *The Heavenly Court Judgment of Revelation*. Lanham, MD: University Press of America, 1992.
- Dumbrell, William J. *The End of the Beginning. Revelation 21-22 and the Old Testament*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1985.
- Fanning, Buist M. *Revelation*. Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2020.
- Farrer, Austin Marsden. *A Rebirth of Images. The Making of St. John's Apocalypse*. Westminster: Dacre, 1949; reprint, Albany, NY: State University of New York, 1986.
- Farrer, Austin Marsden. *The Revelation of St. John the Divine*. Oxford, UK: Clarendon, 1964.
- Feuillet, A., *The Apocalypse*. New York, UK: Alba House, 1965.
- Flemming, Dean E. *Foretaste of the Future. Reading Revelation in the Light of God's Mission*. Downers Grove: InterVarsity Press, 2022.
- Ford, J. Massyngberde. *Revelation*. AB. Garden City, KS: Doubleday, 1975.
- Glasson, T. F. *The Revelation of John*. CBC. New York, NY: Cambridge Press, 1965.
- Gentry, Kenneth L. *Before Jerusalem Fell. Dating the Book of Revelation*. Tyler, TX: Institute for Christian Economics, 1989.
- Greg, Steve, ed. *Revelation, Four Views: A Parallel Commentary*. Nashville, TN: Thomas Nelson, 1997.
- Harrington, W. J. *Revelation*. Sacra Pagina. Collegeville, PA: Liturgical, 1993.
- Hemer, Colin J. *The Letters to the Seven Churches of Asia in Their Social Setting*. JSNTSS 11. Sheffield, UK: JSOT Press, 1986.
- Hendriksen, William. *More Than Conquerors: An Interpretation of the Book of Revelation*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1939.
- Himmelfarb, Martha. *The Apocalypse. A Brief History*. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010.
- Horsley, Richard A. *Revolt of the Scribes: Resistance and Apocalyptic Origins*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2010.
- Hughes, Philip E. *The Book of the Revelation*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1990. Johnson, Alan F. "Revelation." In *The Expositor's Bible Commentary*, edited by F. E. Gaebelein, 12:399-603. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1981.
- Keener, Craig S. *Revelation*. NIVAC. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2000.
- Kraybill, Nelson J. *Apocalypse and Allegiance: Worship, Politics, and Devotion in the Book of Revelation*. Grand Rapids, MI: Brazos, 2010.
- Krodel, G. A. *Revelation*. ACNT. Minneapolis, MN: Augsburg, 1989.
- Ladd, George E. *A Commentary on the Revelation of John*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1972.
- Malina, B. J. *On the Genre and Message of Revelation: Star Visions and Sky Journeys*. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1995.
- Mazzaferri, F. D. *The Genre of the Book of Revelation from a Source-Critical Perspective*. BZNW 54. New York, NY: de Gruyter, 1989.
- McKnight, Scot and Cody Matchett. *Revelation for the Rest of Us. A Prophetic Call to Follow Jesus as a Dissident Disciple*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2023.

- Melvin, David P. *The Interpreting Angel Motif in Prophetic and Apocalyptic Literature*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress, 2013.
- Metzger, Bruce M. *Breaking the Code: Understanding the Book of Revelation*. Nashville, TN: Abingdon, 1993.
- Michaels, J. R. *Interpreting the Book of Revelation*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1992. Minear, Paul S. *I Saw a New Earth. An Introduction to the Visions of the Apocalypse*. Cleveland, OH: Corpus, 1968.
- Middleton, J. Richard. *A New Heaven and a New Earth. Reclaiming Biblical Eschatology*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 2014.
- Morris, Leon. *The Revelation of St. John*. TNTC. Revised ed. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1987.
- Mounce, Robert H. *The Book of Revelation*. NICNT. Revised edition. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1998.
- Mounce, Robert H. *What are We Waiting For? A Commentary on Revelation*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1991.
- Moyise, S. *The Old Testament in the Book of Revelation*. JSNT Supplement Series 115. Sheffield, UK: Sheffield Academic Press, 1995.
- Mulholland, M. R. *Revelation*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1990.
- Osborne, Grant R. *Revelation*. BECNT. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2002.
- O’Hear, Natasha and Anthony O’Hear, *Picturing the Apocalypse: The Book of Revelation in the Arts over Two Millennia*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015.
- Peckham, John C. *Theodicy of Love: Cosmic Conflict and the Problem of Evil*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 2018.
- Reddish, Mitchell G. *Revelation*. SHBC. Macon, GA: Smyth and Helwys, 2001.
- Resseguie, James L. *Revelation of John: A Narrative Commentary*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2009.
- Rissi, Mathias. *Time and History: A Study of Revelation*. ET. Richmond, VA: John Knox, 1966.
- Rowland, Christopher. *The Open Heaven*. London, UK: SPCK, 1982.
- Schüssler Fiorenza, Elisabeth. *The Book of Revelation: Justice and Judgment*. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1985.
- Schüssler Fiorenza, Elisabeth. *Revelation: Vision of a Just World*. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1991.
- Schreiner, Thomas R. *Revelation*. BECNT. Grand Rapids: Baker, 2023.
- Spilsbury, Paul. *The Throne, The Lamb and the Dragon: A Reader’s Guide to the Book of Revelation*. Downers Grove: InterVarsity Press, 2002.
- Sweet, J. P. M. *Revelation*. WPC. Philadelphia, PA: Westminster, 1979.
- Swete, Henry Barclay. *The Apocalypse of St. John*. New York: Macmillan, 1906; reprint, Grand Rapids, MI: Kregel, n.d.
- Talbert, Charles H. *The Apocalypse*. Louisville, KY: Westminster/John Knox, 1994.
- Thomas, Robert L. *Revelation 1—7: An Exegetical Commentary*. WEC. Chicago, IL: Moody, 1992.
- \_\_\_\_\_. *Revelation 8—22: An Exegetical Commentary*. WEC. Chicago, IL: Moody, 1995.
- Thompson, Leonard L. *The Book of Revelation. Apocalypse and Empire*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1990.

- \_\_\_\_\_. *Revelation*. ANTC. Nashville, TN: Abingdon, 1998.
- Wainwright, A. W. *Mysterious Apocalypse: Interpreting the Book of Revelation*. Nashville, TN: Abingdon, 1993.
- Wall, Robert W. *Revelation*. NIBC. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1991. Walvoord, John. F. *The Revelation of Jesus Christ*. Chicago, IL: Moody, 1966.
- Witherington III, Ben. *Revelation*. NCBC. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2003.

## **VI. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL COURSES**

### **A. EQUITY OF ACCESS**

Students with permanent or temporary disabilities who need academic accommodations must [contact](#) the [Accessibility Services](#) at the [Centre for Academic Excellence](#) to [register](#) and discuss their specific needs. *New students* must self-identify and register with the Accessibility Office at the beginning of the semester or as early as possible to access appropriate services. *Current students* must renew their plans as early as possible to have active accommodations in place.

### **B. REQUIREMENTS FOR LIVESTREAM INTERACTION (SYNCHRONOUS ONLINE COURSE ONLY)**

- Livestream attendance for the entire duration of the class at announced times
- Headphones (preferred), built-in microphone, and web-camera
- Well-lit and quiet room
- Stable high-speed internet connection, preferably using an Ethernet cable over Wi-Fi
- Full name displayed on Zoom and Microsoft Teams for attendance purposes\*
- A commitment to having the camera on to foster community building\*

*\*exceptions with permission from professor*

### **C. GUIDELINES FOR INTERACTIONS**

Tyndale University prides itself in being a trans-denominational community. We anticipate our students to have varied viewpoints which will enrich the discussions in our learning community. Therefore, we ask our students to be charitable and respectful in their interactions with each other, and to remain focused on the topic of discussion, out of respect to others who have committed to being a part of this learning community. Please refer to “Guidelines for Interactions” on your course resource page at [classes.tyndale.ca](https://classes.tyndale.ca).

### **D. GUIDELINES FOR THE SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN WORK**

#### **Grading Rubric**

Please consult the rubric provided for each assignment on your course resource page at [classes.tyndale.ca](https://classes.tyndale.ca).

## **Academic Integrity**

Integrity in academic work is required of all our students. Academic dishonesty is any breach of this integrity and includes such practices as cheating (the use of unauthorized material on tests and examinations), submitting the same work for different classes without permission of the instructors; using false information (including false references to secondary sources) in an assignment; improper or unacknowledged collaboration with other students, and plagiarism (including improper use of artificial intelligence programs). Tyndale University takes seriously its responsibility to uphold academic integrity, and to penalize academic dishonesty. Please refer to the [Academic Integrity website](#) for further details. Students must review the policies and procedures regarding Artificial Intelligence (AI) outlined in [Academic Calendar](#) and consult guidance from their course instructors.

For proper citation style, consult [Citation Guides](#) for different styles. Students are encouraged to consult [Writing Services](#).

Students should also consult the current [Academic Calendar](#) for policies and expectations on Attendance and Classroom Expectations, Academic Honesty, Gender Inclusive Language in Written Assignments, Late Papers and Extensions, Return of Assignments, and Grading System.

## **Turnitin Text-Matching Software**

Tyndale has a subscription to Turnitin, a text-matching software that ensures the originality of academic writing and verifies the proper citation of all sources. The instructor for this course will use Turnitin for assignments submitted through your course resource page at [classes.tyndale.ca](http://classes.tyndale.ca). Upon submission, you will receive a summary that includes your submitted files along with a similarity report generated by Turnitin. Please be aware that Turnitin can also detect AI-generated content from tools like Grammarly, so students should be mindful of when using such software. It's advisable to confirm with your instructor before using any AI tools into your assignments. Below are some useful resources:

- [Student](#) Guides for Turnitin via [classes.tyndale.ca](http://classes.tyndale.ca) course resource page
- Interpreting Similarity ([Guide](#), [Video](#), [Spectrum](#))

## **Research Ethics**

All course-based assignments involving human participants requires ethical review and may require approval by the [Tyndale Research Ethics Board \(REB\)](#). Check with the Seminary Dean's Office ([seminaryoffice@tyndale.ca](mailto:seminaryoffice@tyndale.ca)) before proceeding.

## **Late Papers and Extensions Policy**

Faculty may not grant an extension beyond the last day of exams for the semester. Requests for extensions beyond this date must be addressed in writing to the Registrar by filling out the [Extension Request Form](#). The application will be considered only in cases such as a death in the family, medical emergency, hospitalization of oneself or immediate family member or

prolonged illness requiring treatment by a physician. Factors such as assignments for other courses, holidays, and technology-related difficulties are insufficient grounds for requesting an extension.

A temporary grade of incomplete (“I”) may be granted by the Registrar. Once an extension is granted, it is the student’s responsibility to contact the instructor and make satisfactory arrangements to complete the outstanding work. A grade of “F” will be recorded for students who do not complete the outstanding work by the deadline.

## **E. COURSE EVALUATION**

Tyndale Seminary values quality in the courses it offers its students. End-of-course evaluations provide valuable student feedback and are one of the ways that Tyndale Seminary works towards maintaining and improving the quality of courses and the student’s learning experience. Student involvement in this process is critical to enhance the general quality of teaching and learning.

Before the end of the course, students will receive a MyTyndale email with a link to the online course evaluation. The link can also be found in the left column on the course page. The evaluation period is 2 weeks; after the evaluation period has ended, it cannot be reopened.

Course Evaluation results will not be disclosed to the instructor before final grades in the course have been submitted and processed. Student names will be kept confidential, and the instructor will only see the aggregated results of the class.

## **F. LIBRARY RESOURCES**

[Tyndale Library](#) supports courses with [e-journals](#), [e-books](#), and the [mail delivery of books](#) and circulating materials. See the [Library FAQ page](#).

## **G. GRADING SYSTEM & SCALE**

For each course’s grading rubric, please refer to your course syllabus or [classes.tyndale.ca](http://classes.tyndale.ca). For general grading guidelines, refer to Seminary [Grading System & Scale](#).